

EXHIBIT E

Exhibit 99 (b)

DELPHI

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

_____ x
:
In re : Chapter 11
:
DELPHI CORPORATION, et al. : Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
, :
:
Debtors. : (Jointly Administered)
_____ x

**FIRST AMENDED DISCLOSURE STATEMENT WITH RESPECT TO
FIRST AMENDED JOINT PLAN OF REORGANIZATION OF DELPHI CORPORATION AND
CERTAIN AFFILIATES, DEBTORS
AND DEBTORS-IN-POSSESSION**

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER
& FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(800) 718-5305
(248) 813-2698 (International)
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
George N. Panagakis (GP 0770)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)
Nathan L. Stuart (NS 7872)

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER
& FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Of Counsel
DELPHI CORPORATION
5725 Delphi Drive
Troy, Michigan 48098
David M. Sherbin
Sean P. Corcoran
Karen J. Craft

Attorneys for Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Dated: New York, New York
December 10, 2007

DISCLAIMER

**THIS IS NOT A SOLICITATION OF ACCEPTANCE OR REJECTION OF THE PLAN.
ACCEPTANCES OR REJECTIONS MAY NOT BE SOLICITED UNTIL THE BANKRUPTCY
COURT HAS APPROVED THIS DISCLOSURE STATEMENT. THIS DISCLOSURE
STATEMENT IS BEING SUBMITTED FOR APPROVAL BUT HAS NOT YET BEEN
APPROVED BY THE COURT.**

DELPHI CORP. Form 8-K
Pg 3 of 81

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS DISCLOSURE STATEMENT IS INCLUDED HEREIN FOR PURPOSES OF SOLICITING ACCEPTANCES OF THE JOINT PLAN OF REORGANIZATION OF DELPHI CORPORATION AND CERTAIN AFFILIATES, DEBTORS AND DEBTORS-IN-POSSESSION, AND MAY NOT BE RELIED UPON FOR ANY PURPOSE OTHER THAN TO DETERMINE HOW TO VOTE ON THE PLAN. NO PERSON MAY GIVE ANY INFORMATION OR MAKE ANY REPRESENTATIONS, OTHER THAN THE INFORMATION AND REPRESENTATIONS CONTAINED IN THIS DISCLOSURE STATEMENT, REGARDING THE PLAN OR THE SOLICITATION OF ACCEPTANCES OF THE PLAN.

ALL CLAIM AND INTEREST HOLDERS ARE ADVISED AND ENCOURAGED TO READ THIS DISCLOSURE STATEMENT AND THE PLAN IN THEIR ENTIRETY BEFORE VOTING TO ACCEPT OR REJECT THE PLAN. PLAN SUMMARIES AND STATEMENTS MADE IN THIS DISCLOSURE STATEMENT ARE QUALIFIED IN THEIR ENTIRETY BY REFERENCE TO THE PLAN AND THE EXHIBITS ANNEXED TO THE PLAN AND THIS DISCLOSURE STATEMENT. THE STATEMENTS CONTAINED IN THIS DISCLOSURE STATEMENT ARE MADE ONLY AS OF THE DATE HEREOF, AND THERE CAN BE NO ASSURANCE THAT THE STATEMENTS CONTAINED HEREIN WILL BE CORRECT AT ANY TIME AFTER THE DATE HEREOF. IN THE EVENT OF ANY CONFLICT BETWEEN THE DESCRIPTION SET FORTH IN THIS DISCLOSURE STATEMENT AND THE TERMS OF THE PLAN, THE TERMS OF THE PLAN SHALL GOVERN.

THIS DISCLOSURE STATEMENT HAS BEEN PREPARED IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION 1125 OF THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY CODE AND RULE 3016(b) OF THE FEDERAL RULES OF BANKRUPTCY PROCEDURE AND NOT NECESSARILY IN ACCORDANCE WITH FEDERAL OR STATE SECURITIES LAWS OR OTHER NON-BANKRUPTCY LAW. THIS DISCLOSURE STATEMENT HAS BEEN NEITHER APPROVED NOR DISAPPROVED BY THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION ("SEC"), NOR HAS THE SEC PASSED UPON THE ACCURACY OR ADEQUACY OF THE STATEMENTS CONTAINED HEREIN. PERSONS OR ENTITIES TRADING IN OR OTHERWISE PURCHASING, SELLING, OR TRANSFERRING SECURITIES OR CLAIMS OF DELPHI CORPORATION OR ANY OF ITS SUBSIDIARIES AND AFFILIATES SHOULD EVALUATE THIS DISCLOSURE STATEMENT AND THE PLAN IN LIGHT OF THE PURPOSE FOR WHICH THEY WERE PREPARED.

AS TO CONTESTED MATTERS, ADVERSARY PROCEEDINGS, AND OTHER ACTIONS OR THREATENED ACTIONS, THIS DISCLOSURE STATEMENT SHALL NOT CONSTITUTE OR BE CONSTRUED AS AN ADMISSION OF ANY FACT OR LIABILITY, STIPULATION OR WAIVER, BUT RATHER AS A STATEMENT MADE IN SETTLEMENT NEGOTIATIONS. THIS DISCLOSURE STATEMENT SHALL NOT BE ADMISSIBLE IN ANY NON-BANKRUPTCY PROCEEDING NOR SHALL IT BE CONSTRUED TO BE CONCLUSIVE ADVICE ON THE TAX, SECURITIES, OR OTHER LEGAL EFFECTS OF THE PLAN AS TO HOLDERS OF CLAIMS AGAINST, OR EQUITY INTERESTS IN, DELPHI CORPORATION OR ANY OF ITS SUBSIDIARIES AND AFFILIATES, DEBTORS AND DEBTORS-IN- POSSESSION IN THESE CASES.

DS-ii

In consideration for providing such funds and transferring certain fixed assets, upon satisfaction of certain requirements under Spanish law, Delphi, all of the Delphi affiliates, and each of their directors and officers will be released by operation of Spanish law from any liability related to DASE or arising out of its Concurso application. Additionally, each employee who accepts payment under the separation plan is required to confirm that such payment is in full satisfaction of any claims the worker may have against DASE, Delphi, or any Delphi affiliate. Notwithstanding the foregoing, Delphi and its affiliates deny any liability and reserve the right to challenge any and all such claims should this matter not be resolved consensually as anticipated.

8. The Joint Interest Agreements

As discussed in more detail below, the Debtors are the subject of certain investigations including (a) the internal review conducted by the Audit Committee of Delphi's Board of Directors, (b) the formal ongoing investigations by several governmental agencies of certain previously employed individuals, (c) the Company's restatement of earnings for fiscal years 2001 through 2003, (d) the subject matter related to the commencement of certain class actions, including, without limitation, actions brought under ERISA and various securities actions, and (e) the review by a special committee of Delphi's Board of Directors of certain shareholder derivative demands and related actions. The Bankruptcy Court approved separate joint interest agreements between the Debtors and the Creditors' Committee and between the Debtors and the Equity Committee, which allows the Debtors to share certain confidential, and sometimes privileged, information with the Creditors' Committee and Equity Committee, respectively. The Bankruptcy Court also approved fee procedures to protect the confidential time detail that discloses work conducted by the professionals working on matters related to these investigations.

9. Exclusivity

Pursuant to an order of the Bankruptcy Court dated January 6, 2006, the Bankruptcy Court extended the Debtors' exclusive period to propose a plan of reorganization (the "Filing Period") through August 5, 2006, and to solicit acceptances of such plan (the "Solicitation Period") to October 4, 2006. Pursuant to further orders of the Bankruptcy Court entered on June 19, 2006, January 23, 2007, and July 29, 2007, the current Filing Period extends through December 31, 2007 and the Solicitation Period through February 29, 2008.

10. Preserving Estate Causes Of Action

(a) Avoidance Procedures Order

On August 16, 2007, the Bankruptcy Court entered an order (the "Avoidance Procedures Order") authorizing the Debtors to enter into tolling agreements with respect to avoidance and other causes of action, approving procedures to identify those causes of action that should be preserved or abandoned, authorizing the Debtors to abandon certain actions, and establishing adversary proceeding procedures for preserving causes of action. The Debtors sought this relief so that they could take steps to fulfill their fiduciary duties to preserve valuable estate assets in a manner that will not unnecessarily disrupt their prosecution of the Plan or their existing business relationships with potential defendants that are necessary to the Debtors' ongoing operations.

DS-148

Section 546(a)(1)(A) of the Bankruptcy Code provides that a debtor-in-possession may not commence a cause of action under section 544, 545, 547, 548, or 553 of the Bankruptcy Code more than two years after the Petition Date. Similarly, section 108(a)(2) of the Bankruptcy Code provides that a debtor-in-possession may not commence a cause of action under non-bankruptcy law—for which the applicable statute of limitations, but for the chapter 11 filing, would have otherwise expired during the initial two years of a case—more than two years after the Petition Date. Thus, to bring timely lawsuits on any of these causes of action, the Debtors needed to commence them no later than October 9, 2007 or October 15, 2007, as applicable.

Because of the Plan's treatment of unsecured creditors, the Debtors have determined that the affirmative pursuit of many if not all of these causes of action would not benefit their estates and creditors. As described in this Disclosure Statement, the Plan will pay or satisfy all allowed claims in full through distributions of common stock. As a result, avoiding preferential transfers through causes of action under section 547 of the Bankruptcy Code or similar state laws ("Preference Claims") would provide little or no benefit to the Debtors' Estates because any party returning such a transfer would be entitled to an unsecured claim for the same amount, to be paid or satisfied in full under the Plan. For the same reasons, avoiding statutory liens under section 545 of the Bankruptcy Code or prepetition setoffs under section 553 of the Bankruptcy Code would provide little or no benefit to the Estates. In addition, under the Plan the Reorganized Debtors will not retain the Preference Claims except those specifically listed on Exhibit 7.24 to the Plan.

The Debtors estimate that there may be more than 11,000 potential Preference Claims arising from transfers during the 90-day period before the Petition Date, which transfers total approximately \$5.8 billion (without taking into account potential defenses such as transfers made in the ordinary course of business). With respect to transfers subject to potential claims that the Debtors did not receive reasonably equivalent value in exchange (commonly known as constructive fraud claims), the reach-back period made applicable by section 544(b) of the Bankruptcy Code and state law (most likely Michigan and New York law with respect to most transactions in these cases) is generally the six years prior to the Petition Date. Thus, with a company of Delphi's size, there are literally hundreds of thousands of transactions that occurred during that six-year period.

Although the Debtors do not intend to pursue these causes of action in light of the distributions to be made under the Plan, as a precautionary measure they must identify and preserve the causes of action in some manner. The Debtors explored various alternatives to commencing actions before the two-year deadline, such as executing tolling agreements with potential defendants. The logistical challenges of circulating and executing tolling agreements with such a large number of potential defendants, however, made that solution impractical. The Debtors, therefore, determined that to the extent they did not execute tolling agreements they needed to timely commence actions on most of these claims or risk losing them.

(b) Procedures To Identify And Preserve Causes Of Action

To identify and preserve these claims, without disrupting the successful prosecution of the Plan and the Debtors' existing business relationships, the Avoidance Procedures Order established the following procedures:

DS-149

(i) Tolling Agreement

- *Approval Of Form.* The Avoidance Procedures Order approved a form of stipulation which, without further order of the Bankruptcy Court, tolls the applicable statute of limitations on claims against any party with whom the Debtors seek to enter into such a stipulation.
- *Intercompany Tolling.* The Avoidance Procedures Order deemed all Debtors to have entered into a tolling stipulation with each of the other Debtors and affiliated non-Debtor entities controlled by the Debtors or that had actual notice of the Motion.

(ii) Approval Of Avoidance Evaluation Procedures And Authority To Abandon Claims

- *Preference Claims Below \$250,000 In Value.* The Debtors are authorized (but not directed) to abandon these preference actions. To the extent that any of these actions are against insiders or involve persons or transactions associated with the SEC investigation of the Debtors, the Debtors are authorized (but not directed) to abandon those actions after notice to the Statutory Committees. If a Statutory Committee objects within ten days after service of any such notice, the Debtors may bring the matter before the Bankruptcy Court for a ruling on whether the proposed abandonment satisfies section 554(a) of the Bankruptcy Code.
- *Select Categories Of Preference Claims.* The Debtors are authorized (but not directed) to abandon the following categories of preference actions: (i) payments to parties with a secured or priority interest in such payment, (ii) union dues, (iii) pension plan contributions, (iv) payments required under the terms of collective bargaining agreements, (v) payments to reimburse employee business expenses, (vi) ordinary course wages, salaries, and benefits to employees, (vii) payments required by a garnishment to satisfy third-party judgments and obligations, (viii) contributions to charitable organizations, (ix) payments to foreign suppliers, (x) payments to the Debtors' shippers, (xi) payments to the Debtors' insurance providers, and (xii) payments to the Debtors' utilities.
- *Scope Of Fraudulent Transfer Review.* The Avoidance Procedures Order provides that, for purposes of identifying and preserving potential fraudulent transfer claims, the Debtors need only review the following categories of transactions: merger and acquisition deals at or exceeding \$20 million, transfers to Delphi's board of directors or strategy board members other than for compensation or ordinary-course expense reimbursement (if any), unusual securities transactions, dividend distributions to 5% shareholders, and Delphi's financially troubled supplier program.
- *Additional Authority For Abandonment After Notice To Statutory Committees.* The Debtors are authorized (but not directed) to abandon, after notice to the Statutory Committees, and without further order of the Bankruptcy Court or further notice, claims (i) with insignificant value, (ii) where litigation costs would likely exceed expected recovery, (ii) where the potential harm to businesses outweighs expected

DS-150

recovery, or (iv) where valid defenses exist. If a Statutory Committee objects within ten days after service of the notice, the Debtors may bring the matter before the Bankruptcy Court for a ruling on whether the proposed abandonment satisfies section 554(a) of the Bankruptcy Code.

(iii) Commencement Of The Adversary Proceedings And Service Of Process

- *Adversary Proceedings Subject To Procedures.* The procedures apply to each adversary proceeding that the Debtors identify to the Clerk of Court as being subject to these procedures.
- *Deferral Of Issuance Of Summons.* The Clerk of Court is directed to defer issuing a summons after the filing of a complaint, unless and until the Debtors intend to pursue the claims in the complaint.
- *Extension Of Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m) Time Period.* The Debtors have until March 31, 2008 to serve each defendant with the summons and the complaint, without prejudice to the Debtors' right to seek further extensions of the deadline.
- *Service Of Order With Summons And Complaint.* The Debtors must serve a copy of the Avoidance Procedures Order upon each defendant in any adversary proceeding either if and when the Debtors serve process on the defendant or as soon thereafter as practicable.

(iv) Stay Of Adversary Proceedings Until Service Of Process And Interim Sealing

- *Stay of Adversary Proceedings.* Each adversary proceeding is stayed until the Debtors have served the summons and complaint.
- *Activity During The Stay.* During the stay, the Debtors may (i) amend their complaint, and (ii) after notice to the Statutory Committees, dismiss it.
- *Expiration Of The Stay.* The stay will continue until the earlier of (i) service of process and (ii) further order of the Bankruptcy Court after application therefor.
- *Filing Of The Complaints Under Interim Seal.* The Debtors filed under seal paper copies of the complaints in the adversary proceedings and the case docket for such adversary proceedings will not disclose the identity of any defendant in the adversary proceedings.

(v) General Motors Corporation

- As described above, Delphi has entered into a comprehensive settlement agreement with GM that is incorporated into the Plan. Nevertheless, because of GM's unique role in these Chapter 11 Cases, in addition to filing a sealed complaint governed by

DS-151

the procedures above, the Debtors and GM have filed, under seal, a stipulation that contains tolling provisions, consistent with the Avoidance Procedures Order, and other agreements of the parties with respect to the sealed complaint involving GM, which stipulation will be deemed "so ordered" and will be sealed in accordance with the terms of the Avoidance Procedures Order.

(vi) Additional Procedures

- The Avoidance Procedures Order is without prejudice to the Debtors' seeking additional procedures to govern the adversary proceedings.

(vii) Reservation Of Rights

- With respect to any avoidance causes of action under section 544, 545, 547, 548, or 553 of the Bankruptcy Code that the Debtors abandon in accordance with the procedures described immediately above, the Debtors reserve all rights, including the right under section 502(d) of the Bankruptcy Code, to use defensively the abandoned avoidance cause of action as a ground to object to all or any part of a claim against any estate asserted by a creditor that remains in possession of, or otherwise obtains the benefit of, the avoidable transfer.

Although hundreds of actions subject to these procedures have been commenced, the Debtors will proceed no further and not use them for any purpose while they focus on confirming the Plan. The procedures are designed to permit the Debtors to preserve these claims while otherwise maintaining the status quo with all parties-in-interest. The actions will remain dormant and become relevant again only in the unlikely event that the Debtors do not timely emerge from chapter 11. If the Plan is confirmed, these actions will be dismissed.

(c) FICA Claimants' Estate Causes of Action

In 1999 and 2003, Delphi, a predecessor of DAS LLC, and Delphi Automotive Systems Services LLC (the "FICA Claimants") agreed to pay "ratification bonuses" shortly after the effective date of duly ratified collective bargaining agreements to certain union members who were classified in a specified status (i.e., active status, protected status, temporary layoff status, or various forms of short-term leave of absence). The FICA Claimants contend that the payments were not "wages" subject to taxation under the Federal Insurance Contributions Act ("FICA") because the payments were not in exchange for any services by the union members, but instead constituted payments in exchange for a promise by the union membership to be bound by the collective bargaining agreements. The FICA Claimants nevertheless withheld and paid FICA taxes to the IRS to avoid the possibility of becoming secondarily liable for the FICA taxes owed to the IRS by those union members. The FICA Claimants subsequently filed claims for refunds with the IRS. The IRS denied the refund claim for 1999 FICA taxes while these Chapter 11 Cases were pending, but the IRS has yet to act on the refund claim for 2003 FICA taxes. The FICA Claimants may file actions for the benefit of the estates to recover an amount that is currently estimated to be \$26,058,128 in 1999 and 2003 FICA overpayments, as well as related interest, and will expressly preserve these estate causes of action in Exhibit 7.24 of the Plan.

DS-152

E. Summary Of Claims Process

The Debtors' claims administration process in these Chapter 11 Cases is at an advanced stage compared to other large, complex Chapter 11 Cases. The Debtors have made significant progress in reconciling and allowing claims, primarily because one of the conditions in both the Original EPCA and the Investment Agreement is that the allowed or estimated amount for certain "trade and other unsecured claims" will not exceed \$1.7 billion, the dollar threshold negotiated among the Debtors and the Plan Investors. Pursuant to amendments to the Delphi-Appaloosa EPCA, the threshold for "trade and other unsecured claims" was adjusted to \$1.45 billion.

1. Schedules Of Assets And Liabilities And Statements Of Financial Affairs

On January 20, 2006, the Debtors filed with the Bankruptcy Court Schedules of Assets and Liabilities and Statements of Financial Affairs (collectively, the "Schedules and Statements"). In compliance with the requirements under the Bankruptcy Code, separate Schedules and Statements were filed for the 42 debtors in the jointly-administered Chapter 11 Cases. The Debtors filed amendments to the Schedules and Statements on February 1, 2006, April 18, 2006, and amendments for ten Debtors on October 12, 2007. The global notes and limitations with respect to the Schedules and Statements are incorporated by reference in, and comprise an integral component of, the Schedules and Statements, and should be referred to and reviewed in connection with the Schedules and Statements.

For financial reporting purposes, the Debtors, along with their subsidiaries which are not the subject of cases under the Bankruptcy Code, prepare consolidated financial statements that are filed with the SEC and that are audited annually. Unlike the consolidated financial statements, the Schedules and Statements reflect the assets and liabilities of each individual Debtor, except as otherwise noted. The Schedules and Statements do not purport to represent financial statements prepared in accordance with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles in the United States, nor are they intended to fully reconcile to the consolidated financial statements filed by Delphi.

2. Claims Bar Date

On April 12, 2006, the Bankruptcy Court entered an order (the "Bar Date Order") establishing July 31, 2006 as the general deadline for filing proofs of claim against the Debtors (the "Bar Date"). Proofs of claim were not required to be filed by any person or entity who

- agreed with the nature, classification, and amount of its Claim as described in the Schedules and Statements and whose Claim against a Debtor was not listed as "disputed," "contingent," or "unliquidated" in the Schedules,
- already filed a proof of claim against the correct Debtor,
- asserted only an administrative expense claim and not a claim otherwise subject to the Bar Date Order,

DS-153

- asserted a claim solely on the basis of future pension or other post-employment benefits,
- had a claim that had been allowed by or paid pursuant to a Bankruptcy Court order,
- was the holder of certain notes, or
- held Delphi common stock.

The Bar Date Order also provides for a new Bar Date for claimants who may be affected by two specific events which might have occurred before or may occur after the Bar Date. First, if the Debtors amend the Schedules and Statements to reduce the undisputed, non-contingent, and liquidated amounts or to change the nature or classification of a particular Claim against a Debtor reflected therein, then the affected claimant has until 30 calendar days after such claimant is served with notice that the Debtors have amended their Schedules to file a proof of claim or to amend any previously filed proof of claim in respect of such amended scheduled claim. Second, holders of claims based on the rejection of executory contracts and unexpired leases have until the later of (i) the Bar Date or (ii) 30 calendar days after the effective date of such rejection to file a claim.

Under the Bar Date Order, any person or entity which was required to file a proof of claim, but failed to do so in a timely manner on or before the applicable Bar Date, is forever barred, estopped, and enjoined from (a) asserting any claim that such person or entity has against the Debtors that (i) is in an amount that exceeds the amount set forth in the Schedules and Statements as undisputed, non-contingent, and unliquidated or (ii) is of a different nature or in a different classification than as set forth in the Schedules and Statements and (b) voting upon, or receiving distributions under, any plan or plans of reorganization in these Chapter 11 Cases in respect of such a claim, and the Debtors and their property will be forever discharged from any and all indebtedness or liability with respect to such a claim.

The Debtors' claims and noticing agent, Kurtzman Carson Consultants LLC, provided notice of the Bar Date by mailing to each person listed in the Schedules and Statements: (i) a notice of the Bar Date, (ii) a proof of claim form, and (iii) statements which indicated whether the claim of each recipient was listed in the Schedules and Statements as either unliquidated, contingent, and/or disputed.

The Debtors also published notice of the Bar Date on or before April 24, 2006 in New York Times (national edition), the Wall Street Journal (national, European, and Asian editions), USA Today (worldwide), the Automotive News (national edition), and in local editions of the following: the Adrian Daily Telegram, the Arizona Daily Star, the Buffalo News, the Chicago Sun Times, the Clinton News, the Columbus Dispatch, the Daily Leader, the Dayton Daily News, the Detroit Free Press, the El Paso Times, the Fitzgerald Herald Leader, The Flint Journal, the Gadsden Times, the Grand Rapids Press, the Greenville News, the Indianapolis Star, the Kansas City Star, the Kokomo Tribune, the Lansing State Journal, the Laurel Leader, the Los Angeles Daily News, the Milwaukee Journal Sentinel, the Mobile Beacon, The Mobile Register, the Oakland Press, the Olathe Daily News, the Rochester Democrat and Chronicle, the Saginaw

DS-154

News, the Sandusky Register, the Tribune Chronicle, the Tulsa World, The Tuscaloosa News, and The Vindicator.

In total, the Debtors provided notice of the Bar Date to more than 500,000 persons and entities.

3. *Proofs Of Claim And Other Claims*

As of November 19, 2007, the Debtors had received approximately 16,700 proofs of claim, of which approximately 900 were filed after the Bar Date. A portion of these approximately 16,700 proofs of claim assert, in part or in whole, unliquidated amounts. In addition, the Debtors have compared proofs of claim received to scheduled liabilities and determined that there are certain scheduled liabilities for which no proof of claim was filed. In the aggregate, total proofs of claim and scheduled liabilities assert approximately \$37 billion in liquidated amounts, including approximately \$900 million in intercompany claims, and additional unliquidated amounts. Although the Debtors have not completed the process of reconciling these proofs of claim and thus, the ultimate amount of such liabilities is not determinable at this time, the Debtors believe that the aggregate amount of claims filed is likely to exceed the amount that will ultimately be allowed by the Bankruptcy Court.

4. *Claims Reconciliation Progress*

The Debtors have sought to resolve their claims pool on an expedited basis. With \$37 billion in liquidated amounts plus certain unliquidated amounts asserted against the Debtors as of January 31, 2007 in more than 16,500 proofs of claim, and certain scheduled liabilities for which no proof of claim was filed, the Debtors faced a challenging task. Between September 19, 2006 and November 19, 2007, the Debtors filed 23 Omnibus Claims Objections seeking disallowance of approximately 9,800 proofs of claim with approximately \$9.6 billion in asserted liquidated amounts plus unliquidated amounts, and modification of approximately 3,700 proofs of claim with approximately \$758 million in asserted liquidated amounts. As of November 19, 2007, the Bankruptcy Court has entered orders expunging approximately 9,300 proofs of claim, which reduced the amount of asserted claims by approximately \$9.3 billion. In addition, the asserted claim amount of approximately 3,100 claims has been reduced by approximately \$90.5 million either through orders on omnibus claims objections (\$35.1 million) or stipulated orders (\$55.4 million). Additionally, 88 proofs of claim asserting approximately \$249 million have been withdrawn.

The Debtors and their advisors devoted a significant amount of time to the claims resolution process. For example, the Debtors gained court approval of certain claims objection procedures, which are discussed in more detail below, applicable to claims that become contested when claimants respond to an omnibus objection. Pursuant to these procedures, the Debtors scheduled multiple claims for adjudication in a hearing before the Bankruptcy Court, held multiple "meet-and-confer" discussions and mediations, and ultimately resolved several contested claims during the period from October 2006 through November 2007 before they were scheduled for hearing. Finally, with respect to contested claims that did proceed to hearing, the Debtors obtained orders disallowing and expunging 94% of such claims, thus reducing the prepetition unsecured claims pool by approximately \$230 million.

DS-155

EXHIBIT F

Exhibit 7-19

Retained Causes Of Action

All Plan Exhibits are subject to all of the provisions of the First Amended Joint Plan Of Reorganization Of Delphi Corporation And Certain Affiliates, Debtors And Debtors-In-Possession (As Modified) (Docket No. 17030) (as subsequently modified or amended, the "Modified Plan"), including, without limitation, Article 14.3, under which the Debtors have reserved the right to alter, amend, or modify the Modified Plan or any Exhibits thereto.

EXHIBIT 7.19

Non-Exclusive List of Retained Actions

General Note to Plan Schedule 7.19¹

In accordance with section 1123(b)(3) of the Bankruptcy Code and except as otherwise provided in section 7.19 of the Plan, the Reorganized Debtors shall retain and may (but are not required to) enforce all Retained Actions and all other similar claims arising under applicable state laws, including, without limitation, fraudulent transfer claims, if any, and all other Causes of Action of a trustee and debtor-in-possession under the Bankruptcy Code, including, without limitation, all of those claims, Retained Actions, and Causes of Action that are listed in the Debtors' Schedules, as they may have been amended, and any such claims, Retained Actions, and Causes of Action that may have subsequently arisen, that may have subsequently been discovered, or which may be pending. The Debtors or the Reorganized Debtors, in their sole and absolute discretion, shall determine whether to bring, settle, release, compromise, or enforce such Retained Actions (or decline to do any of the foregoing), and shall not be required to seek further approval of the Bankruptcy Court for such action. The Reorganized Debtors or any successors may pursue such litigation claims in accordance with the best interests of the Reorganized Debtors or any successors holding such rights of action.

For the avoidance of any confusion, the Debtors and Reorganized Debtors or any successors holding such rights of action expressly retain, among all other rights of action:

1. Any and all claims, Causes of Action, rights of action, suits, and proceedings in favor of the Debtors or their Estates against Furukawa Electric North America APD and Furukawa Electric Co., Ltd. and their affiliates and subsidiaries, including those asserted in Adversary Proceeding No. 07-02379.

¹ Capitalized terms used in this Exhibit and not otherwise defined have the meanings ascribed to such terms in the Plan.

2. Any and all claims, Causes of Action, rights of action, suits, and proceedings in favor of the Debtors or their Estates against any party or third party arising out of or relating to the claims raised against the Debtors in certain consolidated class action proceedings styled In Re Delphi Corporation Securities, Derivative & ERISA Litigation, Master Case No. 05-md-1725, pending in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan (the "MDL Litigation"), including claims for indemnification, claims related to the rights to the proceeds of any applicable insurance policies, claims for breach of duty or breach of care in connection with the allegations in the MDL Litigation, derivative claims against former officers, directors, or employees of the Debtors, and claims for negligent or willful conduct which may have caused or contributed to the alleged liability of the Debtors in connection with the MDL Litigation. Provided further, that only those claims and Causes of Action that are expressly specified as being subject to release by the Debtors in certain stipulations of settlement in connection with the MDL Litigation will be released, but only when and if those stipulations become effective by their terms.
3. Any and all claims, Causes of Action, rights of action, suits, and proceedings in favor of the Debtors or their Estates against the United States of America with respect to the Debtors' right to a refund of taxes paid by Delphi, a predecessor of Delphi Automotive Systems LLC, and Delphi Automotive Systems LLC under the Federal Insurance Contributions Act with respect to "ratification bonuses" paid shortly after the effective date of duly ratified collective bargaining agreements to certain union members in 1999 and 2003 including claims asserted in an action styled: Delphi Corporation, et al. v United States of America, No. 08 Civ. 4487 (PKC)(RLE) in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York.
4. Any and all claims, Causes of Action, rights of action, suits, and proceedings in favor of the Debtors or their Estates against Appaloosa Management L.P., *et al.* in Adversary Proceeding No. 08-01232, and against UBS Securities LLC in Adversary Proceeding No. 08-01233.

5. Any and all claims, Causes of Action, rights of action, suits, and proceedings in favor of the Debtors or their Estates against Laneko Engineering Co., Wachovia Bank, National Association and Laneko Engineering Co. Inc. and their affiliates and subsidiaries including those asserted in Adversary Proceeding No. 07-02720.

6. Any and all claims, Causes of Action, rights of action, suits, and proceedings in favor of the Debtors or their Estates against the defendants in the following Adversary Proceedings that were filed under seal in accordance with and pursuant to the Order Under 11 U.S.C. §§ 102(1)(A), 105(a), 107, 108(a)(2), And 546(a) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7004, 9006(c), And 9018 (i) Authorizing Debtors To Enter Into Stipulations Tolling Statue Of Limitations With Respect To Certain Claims, (ii) Authorizing Procedures To Identify Causes Of Action That Should Be Preserved, And (iii) Establishing Procedures For Certain Adversary Proceedings Including Those Commenced By Debtors Under 11 U.S.C. § 541, 544, 547, 548, Or 553, dated August 16, 2007:

Adversary Proceeding Number	Adversary Proceeding Number	Adversary Proceeding Number
07-02074	07-02302	07-02592
07-02076	07-02305	07-02597
07-02077	07-02309	07-02600
07-02084	07-02310	07-02602
07-02090	07-02312	07-02605
07-02096	07-02313	07-02606
07-02098	07-02322	07-02607
07-02124	07-02328	07-02617
07-02125	07-02333	07-02618
07-02130	07-02337	07-02619
07-02131	07-02339	07-02623
07-02133	07-02344	07-02625
07-02135	07-02348	07-02633
07-02138	07-02350	07-02639
07-02140	07-02351	07-02644
07-02142	07-02357	07-02649
07-02147	07-02358	07-02650
07-02151	07-02372	07-02652
07-02161	07-02374	07-02654
07-02177	07-02378	07-02657

Adversary Proceeding Number	Adversary Proceeding Number	Adversary Proceeding Number
07-02182	07-02414	07-02659
07-02185	07-02416	07-02661
07-02186	07-02432	07-02668
07-02188	07-02433	07-02672
07-02198	07-02435	07-02679
07-02201	07-02436	07-02688
07-02203	07-02442	07-02689
07-02210	07-02445	07-02690
07-02211	07-02449	07-02694
07-02212	07-02457	07-02697
07-02214	07-02459	07-02702
07-02217	07-02462	07-02711
07-02220	07-02466	07-02712
07-02227	07-02475	07-02714
07-02234	07-02477	07-02720
07-02236	07-02479	07-02723
07-02238	07-02484	07-02730
07-02242	07-02489	07-02737
07-02245	07-02500	07-02739
07-02248	07-02505	07-02742
07-02250	07-02523	07-02743
07-02256	07-02525	07-02744
07-02257	07-02527	07-02745
07-02258	07-02534	07-02750
07-02259	07-02539	07-02753
07-02260	07-02540	07-02756
07-02262	07-02541	07-02758
07-02270	07-02543	07-02767
07-02272	07-02551	07-02768
07-02274	07-02553	07-02769
07-02280	07-02554	07-02775
07-02282	07-02555	07-02783
07-02284	07-02556	07-02785
07-02287	07-02562	07-02787
07-02288	07-02563	07-02789
07-02291	07-02571	07-02790
07-02295	07-02572	07-02799
07-02298	07-02580	07-02800
07-02301	07-02581	07-02804

The Debtors reserve their right to modify this list to amend, add, or remove parties or otherwise update this list, but disclaim any obligation to do so.

EXHIBIT G

Hearing Date And Time: August 16, 2007, At 10:00 A.M.
Objection Deadline: August 13, 2007, At 4:00 P.M.

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
George N. Panagakakis (GP 0770)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website: <http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X
In re : Chapter 11
:
DELPHI CORPORATION, et al., : Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
:
: (Jointly Administered)
Debtors. :
-----X

EXPEDITED MOTION FOR ORDER UNDER 11 U.S.C. §§ 102(1)(A), 105(a), 107, 108(a)(2),
AND 546(a) AND FED. R. BANKR. P. 7004, 9006(c), AND 9018 (i) AUTHORIZING
DEBTORS TO ENTER INTO STIPULATIONS TOLLING STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS
WITH RESPECT TO CERTAIN CLAIMS, (ii) AUTHORIZING PROCEDURES TO IDENTIFY
CAUSES OF ACTION THAT SHOULD BE PRESERVED, AND (iii) ESTABLISHING
PROCEDURES FOR CERTAIN ADVERSARY PROCEEDINGS INCLUDING
THOSE COMMENCED BY DEBTORS UNDER 11 U.S.C. § 541, 544, 545, 547, 548, OR 553

("PRESERVATION OF ESTATE CLAIMS PROCEDURES MOTION")

Delphi Corporation ("Delphi") and certain of its subsidiaries and affiliates, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases (collectively, the "Debtors"), submit this expedited motion (the "Motion") for an order (the "Order") under 11 U.S.C. §§ 102(1)(A), 105(a), 107, 108(a)(2), and 546(a) and Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure 7004, 9006(c), and 9018 (i) authorizing the Debtors to enter into stipulations tolling the statute of limitations with respect to certain claims, (ii) authorizing procedures for the Debtors to identify causes of action that should be preserved and granting authority to abandon certain causes of action, and (iii) establishing procedures for certain adversary proceedings, including those commenced by the Debtors under 11 U.S.C. § 541, 544, 545, 547, 548, or 553, and respectfully represent as follows:

Background

A. The Chapter 11 Filings

1. On October 8 and 14, 2005, the Debtors filed voluntary petitions in this Court for reorganization relief under chapter 11 of title 11 of the United States Code, 11 U.S.C. §§ 101-1330, as then amended (the "Bankruptcy Code"). The Debtors continue to operate their businesses and manage their properties as debtors-in-possession under Bankruptcy Code sections 1107(a) and 1108. The Court has ordered joint administration of these cases.

2. No trustee or examiner has been appointed in these cases. On October 17, 2005, the Office of the United States Trustee (the "U.S. Trustee") appointed an official committee of unsecured creditors. On April 28, 2006, the U.S. Trustee appointed an official committee of equity holders (together with the official committee of unsecured creditors, the "Statutory Committees").

3. This Court has jurisdiction over this motion pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409. This matter is a core proceeding under 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2).

4. The statutory predicates for the relief requested herein are sections 102(1)(a), 105(a), 107, 108(a)(2), 502(d), 541, 544, 545, 546(a), 547, 548, and 553 of the Bankruptcy Code and Rules 7004, 9006(c), and 9018 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the "Bankruptcy Rules").

B. Current Business Operations Of The Debtors

5. Delphi and its subsidiaries and affiliates (collectively, the "Company") as of December 31, 2006 had global net sales of \$26.4 billion and global assets of approximately \$15.4 billion.¹ At the time of its chapter 11 filing, Delphi ranked as the fifth largest public company business reorganization in terms of revenues and the thirteenth largest public company business reorganization in terms of assets. Delphi's non-U.S. subsidiaries are not chapter 11 debtors and continue their business operations without supervision from the Bankruptcy Court.²

6. The Company is a leading global technology innovator with significant engineering resources and technical competencies in a variety of disciplines,

¹ The aggregated financial data used in this Motion generally consists of consolidated information from Delphi and its worldwide subsidiaries and affiliates as disclosed in the Company's Form 10-K filed on February 27, 2007.

² On March 20 2007, Delphi Automotive Systems Espana S.L. ("DASE"), whose sole operation is a non-core automotive component plant in Cadiz, Spain, filed a "Concurso" application for a Spanish insolvency proceeding. The application was approved by the Spanish court on April 13, 2007. On July 4, 2007, DASE, its Concurso receivers, and the Cadiz workers councils and unions reached a settlement on a social plan, the funding of which was approved by this Court on July 19, 2007. The Spanish court approved the social plan on July 31, 2007. The Concurso proceeding is consistent with Delphi's transformation plan to optimize its manufacturing footprint and to lower its overall cost structure.

and is one of the largest global suppliers of vehicle electronics, transportation components, integrated systems and modules, and other electronic technology. The Company supplies products to nearly every major global automotive original equipment manufacturer ("OEM").

7. Delphi was incorporated in Delaware in 1998 as a wholly-owned subsidiary of General Motors Corporation ("GM"). Prior to January 1, 1999, GM conducted the Company's business through various divisions and subsidiaries. Effective January 1, 1999, the assets and liabilities of these divisions and subsidiaries were transferred to the Company in accordance with the terms of a Master Separation Agreement between Delphi and GM. In connection with these transactions, Delphi accelerated its evolution from a North American-based, captive automotive supplier to a global supplier of components, integrated systems, and modules for a wide range of customers and applications. Although GM is still the Company's single largest customer, today more than half of Delphi's revenue is generated from non-GM sources.

C. Events Leading To The Chapter 11 Filing

8. In the first two years following Delphi's separation from GM, the Company generated approximately \$2 billion in net income. Every year thereafter, however, with the exception of 2002, the Company has suffered losses. In calendar year 2004, the Company reported a net loss of approximately \$4.8 billion on \$28.6 billion in net sales.³ Reflective of a continued downturn in the marketplace, in 2005 Delphi incurred net

³ Reported net losses in calendar year 2004 reflect a \$4.1 billion tax charge, primarily related to the recording of a valuation allowance on the U.S. deferred tax assets as of December 31, 2004. The Company's net operating loss in calendar year 2004 was \$482 million.

losses of approximately \$2.4 billion on net sales of \$26.9 billion. Moreover, in 2006, the Debtors incurred a net loss of \$5.5 billion, \$3.0 billion of which comprised charges related to the U.S. employee special attrition programs.

9. The Debtors believe that the Company's financial performance has deteriorated because of (i) increasingly unsustainable U.S. legacy liabilities and operational restrictions preventing the Debtors from exiting non-profitable, non-core operations, all of which have the effect of creating largely fixed labor costs, (ii) a competitive U.S. vehicle production environment for domestic OEMs resulting in the reduced number of motor vehicles that GM produces annually in the United States and related pricing pressures, and (iii) increasing commodity prices.

10. In light of these factors, the Company determined that it would be imprudent and irresponsible to defer addressing and resolving its U.S. legacy liabilities, product portfolio, operational issues, and forward-looking revenue requirements. Because discussions with its major stakeholders had not progressed sufficiently by the end of the third quarter of 2005, the Company commenced these chapter 11 cases for its U.S. businesses to complete its transformation plan and preserve value for its stakeholders.

D. The Debtors' Transformation Plan

11. On March 31, 2006, the Company outlined the key tenets of a transformation plan that it believed would enable it to return to stable, profitable business operations. The Debtors stated that they needed to focus on five key areas:⁴ first,

⁴ In furtherance of the Debtors' transformation plan, on December 18, 2006, the Debtors announced their execution of an equity purchase and commitment agreement with certain investors, and a plan framework support agreement with those investors and GM. On July 9, 2007, Delphi confirmed that it had formally terminated the equity purchase and commitment agreement and related plan framework

modifying the Company's labor agreements to create a competitive arena in which to conduct business;⁵ second, concluding their negotiations with GM to finalize GM's financial support for the Debtors' legacy and labor costs and to ascertain GM's business commitment to the Company;⁶ third, streamlining their product portfolio to capitalize on their world-class technology and market strengths and make the necessary manufacturing alignment with their new focus;⁷ fourth, transforming their salaried workforce to ensure

support agreement but that it expected to enter into new framework agreements with plan investors presently. Subsequently, on July 18, 2007, Delphi announced that it had accepted a new proposal for an equity purchase and commitment agreement (the "Delphi-Appaloosa EPCA") submitted by a group comprising a number of the original plan investors (affiliates of Appaloosa Management L.P., Harbinger Capital Partners Master Fund I, Ltd., Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Inc., and UBS Securities LLC) as well as, Goldman Sachs & Co. and an affiliate of Pardus Capital Management, L.P. (collectively, the "New Plan Investors"). Under the Delphi-Appaloosa EPCA, the New Plan Investors would invest up to \$2.55 billion in preferred and common equity in the reorganized Delphi to support the Company's transformation plan and plan of reorganization. This Court approved the Delphi-Appaloosa EPCA on August 2, 2007.

⁵ Among the progress made to date, on June 22, 2007, Delphi reached an agreement with the International Union, United Automobile, Aerospace, and Agricultural Implement Workers of America (the "UAW") and GM that (a) modifies, extends, or terminates provisions of the existing collective bargaining agreements among Delphi, the UAW, and its various locals, (b) provides that Delphi and GM will undertake certain financial obligations to Delphi's UAW-represented employees and retirees to facilitate these modifications, and (c) modifies retiree welfare benefits for certain UAW-represented retirees of the Debtors. This agreement, which was approved by this Court on July 19, 2007, should facilitate the Debtors' reaching consensual resolutions of their labor issues with the remaining unions and GM and permit the Debtors to continue to implement their transformation plan and to develop, prosecute, confirm, and consummate a plan of reorganization. As of August 6, 2007, similar agreements have been reached with the International Association of Machinists and Aerospace Workers and its District 10 and Tool and Die Makers Lodge 78, the International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers and its Local 663, International Union of Electronic, Electrical, Salaried, Machine and Furniture Workers-Communication Workers of America and its local unions, and Locals 832S, 18S, and 101S of the International Union of Operating Engineers. Delphi is currently engaged in settlement discussions with its remaining U.S. labor union and is working to conclude discussions with that union as soon as practicable.

⁶ On July 9, 2007, Delphi confirmed that its discussions with GM on a comprehensive settlement agreement had entered the documentation phase and that it expected that a settlement with GM would be incorporated into the Debtors' plan of reorganization rather than filed with this Court for separate approval.

⁷ In connection with their March 31, 2006 announced transformation plan, the Debtors classified "core" and "non-core" product lines and plants. The Debtors have been working to divest non-core assets so as to maximize the value of the estate for stakeholders. During the 2006 and 2007 calendar years, for example, the Debtors sold substantially all of the assets related to MobileAria, Inc., its chapter 11 affiliate, obtained court approval for the sale of substantially all of the assets of their brake hose and

that the Company's organizational and cost structure is competitive and aligned with its product portfolio and manufacturing footprint⁸ and devising a workable solution to their current pension situation.⁹

12. Upon the conclusion of the reorganization process, the Debtors expect to emerge as a stronger, more financially sound business with viable U.S. operations that are well-positioned to advance global enterprise objectives. In the meantime, Delphi will marshal all of its resources to continue to deliver high-quality products to its customers globally. Additionally, the Company will preserve and continue the strategic growth of its non-U.S. operations and maintain its prominence as the world's premier auto supplier.

Saltillo, Mexico brake plant businesses, and obtained court approval of bid procedures related to the upcoming sale of substantially all assets used in their catalyst business. In addition, as announced publicly, the Debtors anticipate selling additional non-core assets, including, without limitation, their steering, interior, and closures businesses.

⁸ As part of this effort, effective July 1, 2006, the Company realigned its business operations to focus its product portfolio on core technologies for which the Company believes it has significant competitive and technological advantages. The Company's revised operating structure consists of its four core business segments: Electronics and Safety, Thermal Systems, Powertrain Systems, and Electrical/Electronic Architecture. The Company also has two additional segments, Steering and Automotive Holdings Group, which will be transitioned as part of the Company's transformation plan. The Debtors also made significant progress in ensuring that their organizational and cost structure is competitive in obtaining the entry of this Court's Order Under 11 U.S.C. § 363(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 6004 Authorizing Debtors To Enter Into Finance Outsourcing Agreement on April 23, 2007 (Docket No. 7773) (the "Finance Outsourcing Order"). The Finance Outsourcing Order authorized the Debtors to outsource certain of the Debtors' accounts receivable, accounts payable, fixed assets, travel and expense reporting, general ledger, and contract administration processes and significantly reduce SG&A expenses as part of their transformation plan.

⁹ To that end, on May 31, 2007, the Bankruptcy Court granted the Debtors' motion for authority to perform under the terms of those certain September 30, 2006 plan year funding waivers, which were approved by the IRS, for both the Delphi Hourly-Rate Employees Plan and the Delphi Retirement Program for Salaried Employees (collectively, the "Plans"). On July 13, 2007, the IRS modified the conditional funding waivers granted to Delphi related to the Plans, extending the dates by which Delphi is required to file a plan of reorganization and emerge from chapter 11 to December 31, 2007 and February 28, 2008, respectively.

E. Revised Plan Framework Agreements And Preserving Estate Causes Of Action

13. The Debtors have made significant progress toward confirming a plan of reorganization: they have obtained the support of their Statutory Committees for and Court approval of the Delphi-Appaloosa EPCA, they have negotiated agreements with five of their six U.S. labor unions, they are engaged in the documentation phase for a comprehensive settlement agreement with GM, and they have scheduled a hearing in October 2007 to seek approval of their proposed disclosure statement and of solicitation procedures for a reorganization plan. The Delphi-Appaloosa EPCA approved by this Court on August 2, 2007 details the New Plan Investors' commitment to invest in the reorganized Delphi and attaches a proposed framework for a reorganization plan pursuant to which the Debtors expect to emerge from chapter 11 by the end of the year. The proposed treatment of claims under this reorganization plan would generally provide that all claims be paid or satisfied in full through distributions of cash, common stock, or both. Accordingly, avoiding preferential transfers would provide no benefit to the Debtors' estates because any party returning such a transfer would be entitled to a claim for the same amount, to be paid in full under such a plan. For the same reasons, avoiding statutory liens or prepetition setoffs would provide little to no benefit to the estates. As a result, the Debtors contemplate that their reorganization plan will waive or release most if not all avoidance causes of action.

14. At present, the Debtors estimate that they may have more than 11,000 potential preference claims arising from transfers totaling approximately \$5.8 billion (before taking into account potential defenses such as transfers made in the ordinary course of business). The constructively fraudulent transfer reach-back period, made

applicable by Bankruptcy Code section 544(b) and state law, is generally six years under the law of Michigan and New York.¹⁰ Thus, with a company of Delphi's size, there are literally hundreds of thousands of transactions that occurred during these constructively fraudulent transfer reach-back periods. Under the Bankruptcy Code, each Debtor has until two years after the entry of the order for relief to commence adversary proceedings asserting avoidance causes of action, as well as certain causes of action where the applicable statute of limitations has been tolled by the Bankruptcy Code during the initial two years of these chapter 11 cases.

15. Although the Debtors do not intend to pursue avoidance actions in light of their anticipated reorganization, as a precautionary measure they must preserve these actions in some manner. The Debtors have explored various alternatives to filing avoidance actions before the two-year deadline, such as executing tolling agreements with potential defendants. The logistical challenges of circulating and executing agreements with such a large number of potential defendants, however, make that solution impractical. The Debtors, therefore, must timely commence these actions or take other action in the coming months or risk losing forever potential causes of action that should be preserved.¹¹

¹⁰ By examining transactions during this reach-back period to identify potential fraudulent transfer claims that should be preserved, the Debtors do not concede that they were insolvent, undercapitalized, or unable to pay their debts as they became due at any time during the reach-back period.

¹¹ As noted above, Delphi has been in discussions with GM on a comprehensive settlement agreement that they anticipate incorporating into the Debtors' reorganization plan. Because of GM's unique role in these cases, in addition to filing a sealed complaint governed by the procedures sought in this Motion, the Debtors request leave for the Debtors and GM to file, under seal, a stipulation that contains tolling provisions, consistent with this Motion, and other agreements of the parties with respect to the sealed complaint involving GM, which stipulation shall be deemed "so ordered" and shall be sealed in accordance with the terms of the order sought herein.

16. Once these actions have been commenced, the Debtors will proceed no further and will not use them for any purpose while they focus on confirming a reorganization plan. The procedures proposed in this Motion are designed to permit the Debtors to preserve these claims while otherwise maintaining the status quo among all parties in interest. The causes of action would remain dormant and become relevant again only in the unlikely event that the Debtors do not timely emerge from chapter 11.

Relief Requested

17. As set forth in the proposed order attached hereto as Exhibit A, the Debtors seek to implement procedures applicable to Adversary Proceedings that will permit all parties to preserve the status quo as the Debtors are finalizing preparations for confirming a reorganization plan by year's end. By this Motion, the Debtors seek the following relief:

Tolling Agreement

- *Approval Of Form.* The Debtors seek court approval of a form of stipulation (attached as Exhibit B) which would, without further order of this Court, toll the applicable statute of limitations on claims against parties with whom the Debtors seek to enter into such stipulations.
- *Intercompany Tolling.* The Debtors also seek to have this Court "deem" all Debtors to have entered into a stipulation with each of the other Debtors and affiliated non-Debtor entities.

Approval Of Avoidance Evaluation Procedures And Authority To Abandon Claims

- *Preference Claims Below \$250,000 In Value.* The Debtors request authority to abandon these preference actions. To the extent that these actions are against insiders or involve persons or transactions associated with the SEC investigation of the Debtors, the Debtors also will be authorized to abandon those actions after notice to the Statutory Committees. If a Statutory Committee objects within 10 days after service of the notice, the Debtors may bring the matter before this Court for a ruling on whether the proposed abandonment satisfies section 554(a) of the Bankruptcy Code.

- *Select Categories Of Preference Claims.* The Debtors seek authority to abandon the following categories of preference actions: (i) payments to parties with a secured or priority interest in such payment, (ii) union dues, (iii) pension plan contributions, (iv) payments required under the terms of collective bargaining agreements, (v) payments to reimburse employee business expenses, (vi) ordinary course wages, salaries, and benefits to employees, (vii) payments required by a garnishment to satisfy third-party judgments and obligations, (viii) contributions to charitable organizations, (ix) payments to foreign suppliers, (x) payments to the Debtors' shippers, (xi) payments to the Debtors' insurance providers, and (xii) payments to the Debtors' utilities.
- *Scope Of Fraudulent Transfer Review.* The Debtors seek entry of an order directing that, for purposes of identifying and preserving potential fraudulent transfer claims, the Debtors need only review the following categories of transactions: merger and acquisition deals at or exceeding \$20 million, transfers to Delphi's board of directors or strategy board members other than for compensation or ordinary-course expense reimbursement (if any), unusual securities transactions, dividend distributions to 5% shareholders, and Delphi's financially troubled supplier program.
- *Additional Authority For Abandonment After Notice To Statutory Committees.* The Debtors seek authority to abandon, after notice to the Statutory Committees, and without further order of this Court or further notice under Bankruptcy Rule 6007, claims (i) with insignificant value, (ii) where litigation costs would likely exceed expected recovery, (ii) where the potential harm to businesses outweighs expected recovery, or (iv) where valid defenses exist. If a Statutory Committee objects within 10 days after service of the notice, the Debtors may bring the matter before this Court for a ruling on whether the proposed abandonment satisfies section 554(a) of the Bankruptcy Code.

Commencement Of The Adversary Proceedings And Service Of Process

- *Deferral Of Issuance Of Summons.* The Clerk of Court would be directed by this Court to defer issuing a summons after the filing of a complaint, unless and until the Debtors intend to pursue the claims in the complaint.
- *Extension Of Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m) Time Period.* The Debtors would have until March 31, 2008 to serve each defendant with a summons and a copy of the complaint, without prejudice to the Debtors' right to seek further extensions of the deadline.

- *Service Of Order With Summons And Complaint.* The Debtors would be required to serve a copy of any order approving this Motion upon each defendant in any adversary proceeding either if and when the Debtors serve process on the defendant or as soon thereafter as practicable.

Stay Of Adversary Proceedings Until Service Of Process And Interim Sealing

- *Activity During The Stay.* During the stay, the Debtors may (i) amend their complaint, and (ii) after notice to the Statutory Committees, dismiss it.
- *Expiration Of The Stay.* The stay would continue until the earlier of (i) service of process and (ii) further order of this Court.
- *Filing Of The Complaints Under Interim Seal.* The Debtors seek authority to file under seal paper copies (with PDF copies on appropriate electronic media) of the complaints in the adversary proceedings and to have the docket for such proceedings likewise sealed.

Basis For Relief

18. The Debtors believe that implementing the proposed procedures would help enable the Debtors fulfill their fiduciary responsibility to preserve valuable estate assets in a manner that would not unnecessarily disrupt the plan process or the Debtors' existing business relationships with potential defendants that are necessary to the Debtors' ongoing operations. These procedures would also reduce the administrative and economic burdens of the Adversary Proceedings on the Debtors, this Court, and potential defendants. Most if not all of the avoidance actions will likely remain unnecessary in light of the terms of the Debtors' prospective reorganization plan.

F. Approval Of Form Of Tolling Agreements

19. The Debtors desire to preserve their respective rights and to continue negotiation and settlement discussions with certain parties without incurring the expense of filing complaints before the expiration of the applicable statute of limitations

period. The Debtors anticipate entering into stipulations with, among others, (i) GM, (ii) professional firms retained by the Debtors, and (iii) insiders who received transfers from the Debtors.

20. Assuming that a potential defendant is willing to enter into a stipulation extending the statute of limitations, the Debtors request authorization to enter into such a stipulation, substantially in the form attached as Exhibit B. The principal terms of the stipulation would be as follows:

- *Statute Of Limitations*: Execution of the stipulation would toll the statute of limitations provided for under sections 108 and 546(a) of the Bankruptcy Code and other applicable law.
- *Term*: The applicable statute of limitations would be extended up to and including the first business day that is nine months following the entry of an order confirming a plan, as the same may have been amended or modified before its entry (the "Tolling Period").
- *Binding Effect*: The stipulation would bind and inure to the benefit of the successors, representatives, assigns, and heirs of the parties.
- *Termination*: The Debtors or their respective successors and assigns would be permitted during the Tolling Period to commence litigation against (a) other Debtors, (b) affiliated non-Debtor entities, and (c) third parties.
- *Effective Date*: The stipulation would become effective immediately upon execution thereof.
- *Prior Court Approval*: The stipulation would be deemed "so ordered" upon execution.

21. Allowing the Debtors to enter into the stipulations tolling the applicable statute of limitations with respect to the claims would be the most efficient and cost-effective means of preventing unnecessary motion practice and litigation and preserving the Debtors' and potential defendants' respective legal rights without allowing

the applicable statute of limitations period to expire and without acknowledging in any way that valid claims, causes of action, or defenses thereto do or do not exist.

22. In view of the number of potential claims and causes of action that the Debtors must preserve, obtaining Court approval for each tolling stipulation would result in burdensome administrative expenses such as the time and cost of drafting, serving, and filing separate approval pleadings and the time incurred by attorneys in preparing for, and appearing at, related hearings before this Court. Accordingly, the Debtors request authority to enter into stipulations tolling the statute of limitations with respect to the claims without seeking further Court approval.

23. Likewise, requiring each of the 42 Debtors in these chapter 11 cases to enter into individual stipulations with each of the other Debtors would require the Debtors to execute more than 1,500 stipulations. Execution of stipulations between the Debtors and affiliated non-Debtor entities would add hundreds more. Obviously, such actions and the costs and expenses associated therewith are unnecessarily burdensome and time-consuming in light of the related nature of the claims. Accordingly, the Debtors also seek an order that "deems" them to have entered into a stipulation with each of the other Debtors and affiliated non-Debtor entities.

G. Approval Of Avoidance Evaluation Procedures And Authority To Abandon Certain Causes Of Action

24. The Debtors request approval of their proposed criteria for reviewing, evaluating, and selecting those potential causes of action that should be preserved in accordance with the procedures discussed herein. These criteria strike a sensible balance between the Debtors' duty to preserve valuable estate assets and the

extraordinary costs to preserve then when, as here, there is little chance that the Debtors will prosecute any of the thousands of actions it will be commencing.

Preference Claims Below \$250,000 In Value

25. In particular, the Debtors seek authority not to pursue any preference action against an entity if the aggregate value of transfers to or for the benefit of that entity is less than \$250,000 in value. Although this threshold would eliminate 9,894 of 11,544 potential preference recoveries, the aggregate amount eliminated would be merely 4.5% of the billions in total potential preferential transfers (before taking into account potential preference defenses). By focusing on the 1,650 entities which benefited from transfers of \$250,000 or more, the Debtors would preserve billions in potential claims (before defenses are considered) while saving the estates from incurring significant legal and other costs and avoiding any disruption to commercial relationships and the Debtors' efforts to emerge from chapter 11. If the preference action is against an insider or involves a person or transaction associated with the SEC investigation of the Debtors, then the Debtors would be authorized to abandon such actions after notice to the Statutory Committees. If a Statutory Committee objects within 10 days after service of the notice, the Debtors propose that they would bring the matter before this Court for a ruling on whether the proposed abandonment satisfies section 554(a) of the Bankruptcy Code.

Select Categories Of Preference Claims

26. In addition, the Debtors seek authority to abandon the following categories of potential preference actions which the Debtors, in their business judgment, have decided should not be pursued: (i) payments to parties with a secured or priority

interest in such payment, (ii) union dues, (iii) pension plan contributions, (iv) payments required under the terms of collective bargaining agreements, (v) payments to reimburse employee business expenses, (vi) ordinary course wages, salaries, and benefits to employees, (vii) payments required by a garnishment to satisfy third-party judgments and obligations, (viii) contributions to charitable organizations, (ix) payments to foreign suppliers, (x) payments to the Debtors' shippers, (xi) payments to the Debtors' insurance providers, and (xii) payments to the Debtors' utilities.

Scope Of Fraudulent Transfer Review

27. As noted above, potential fraudulent transfer claims are likely subject to a six-year reach-back period during which the Debtors engaged in hundreds of thousands of transactions, the vast majority of which indisputably involved the Debtors' receipt of reasonably equivalent value or involved amounts that do not warrant the mammoth undertaking of examining each and every transaction. To balance the need for a review of transactions effected during the reach-back period and avoiding unnecessary costs, the Debtors propose to identify and review all business or asset acquisition or divestiture transactions that equal or exceed \$20 million in value. This would include a review of whether the Debtors followed their own internal procedures for the transaction and, as necessary, interviews with managers to follow up on any issues identified during the review process.

28. The Debtors also would review (i) certain transfers to insiders, including payments or indemnifications to current and former members of the Delphi Board of Directors and of the Delphi Strategy Board other than for compensation or

ordinary-course expense reimbursement (if any), (ii) large or unusual securities transactions (if any) such as large capitalizations or recapitalizations, derivatives, foreign currency, hedging transactions, or commercial paper transactions, (iii) all dividend distributions to 5% shareholders, and (iv) the financially troubled supplier program. As discussed below, the Debtors would enter into tolling agreements for all intercompany transactions that involve a Debtor or insiders of a Debtor.

29. The Debtors request authority to abandon the causes of action described above in accordance with the proposed procedures, without the need for any further order or any further notice under Bankruptcy Rule 6007(a).

Additional Authority To Abandon

30. With respect to other categories of causes of action, the Debtors anticipate that during their review they may identify additional causes of action which, in the exercise of their reasonable business judgment, should not be pursued. The Debtors seek approval for the abandonment of those causes of action which the Debtors determine, upon completion of their review and after notice to counsel to the Statutory Committees, (i) are of insignificant value to the estates, (ii) would impose costs in excess of the value of any reasonably expected recovery, (iii) could pose other potential harm to the Debtors' businesses that would outweigh the expected recovery value, or (iv) with respect to which the Debtors believe the defendants would have valid defenses.

31. If a Statutory Committee objects within 10 days after service of the notice, the Debtors may bring the matter before this Court for a ruling on whether the proposed abandonment satisfies section 554(a) of the Bankruptcy Code.

Preservation Of The Debtors' Rights Under 11 U.S.C. § 502(d)

32. Although the Debtors are proposing to abandon certain avoidance causes of action, the Debtors have decided to abandon them based, in part, on their determination that they will have a right to use the avoidance claim liability to seek the disallowance of claims asserted against the estates, as permitted by section 502(d) of the Bankruptcy Code. Under that section, the Debtors may seek to preclude a creditor subject to an avoidance cause of action from asserting a claim against the estate as long as the creditor remains in possession of, or otherwise obtains the benefit of, the avoidable claim or transfer.¹²

H. Extending Time For Service Of Process

33. Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m), made applicable here by Bankruptcy Rule 7004(a)(1), requires this Court either to dismiss without prejudice any adversary proceeding for which the summons and complaint are not served on the defendant within 120 days of the filing of the complaint or direct that service be effected within a specified time, unless the plaintiff in the adversary proceeding can show good cause for extending the 120-day period. The Debtors request an extension of the time within which the Debtors must serve the summonses and complaints to March 31, 2008¹³—less than 60 days beyond the initial 120-day deadline. The Debtors seek the extension to preserve the status

¹² In light of an appellate ruling from this district, United States Lines, Inc. v. U.S. (In re McLean Indus.), 196 B.R. 670, 675-77 (S.D.N.Y. 1996) (Cote, J.), aff'd 184 B.R. 10 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 1995) (Blackshear, J.), and this Court's prior ruling, In re Metiom, Inc., 301 B.R. 634, 641 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2003), that section 502(d) may continue to be used defensively after an action on the underlying avoidance action has become time-barred, the Debtors have concluded that no affirmative relief with respect to this Motion is required to preserve the Debtors' ability to use section 502(d) defensively. The Debtors expressly reserve all rights with respect to section 502(d).

¹³ March 31, 2008 is the current deadline for closing under the Delphi-Appaloosa EPCA. See Section 12(d)(iii).

quo and to avoid having to force all potential defendants to retain counsel to defend against adversary proceedings when, in fact, most of them likely will be resolved by a reorganization plan and never pursued. The Debtors propose the following procedures concerning the commencement of the Adversary Proceedings and service of process:

- *Deferral Of Issuance Of Summons.* The Clerk of Court would be directed by this Court to defer issuing a summons after the filing of a complaint filed in accordance with these procedures, unless and until the Debtors notify the Clerk of Court that they intend to litigate the claims alleged in the complaint.
- *Extension Of Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m) Time Period.* The Debtors would have until March 31, 2008 to serve each defendant with a summons and a copy of the complaint, without prejudice to the Debtors' right to seek further extensions of the deadline.
- *Service Of Order With Summons And Complaint.* The Debtors would be required to serve a copy of any order approving this Motion upon each defendant in any Adversary Proceeding either when the Debtors serve the summons and complaint on the defendant or as soon thereafter as practicable.

34. These procedures would permit the Debtors to preserve potentially valuable assets without disrupting the plan process or existing business relationships prematurely or prejudicing the rights of any defendants.

I. Stay Of Adversary Proceedings Until Service Of Process

35. For the same reasons that the Debtors seek an extension of their time to serve potential defendants with process, the Debtors also request that the Adversary Proceedings filed pursuant to the proposed procedures be temporarily stayed, without prejudice to the Debtors' right to amend their complaints during the stay. Under the proposed procedures, the Debtors also would be authorized to file, without further order of this Court, a notice of dismissal of the Adversary Action in accordance with Bankruptcy

Rule 7041 and Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a) if it is decided that the Adversary Action should not be pursued after notice to the Statutory Committees or as otherwise provided in a plan of reorganization or confirmation order. If a Statutory Committee objects within 10 days after service of the notice, the Debtors request that they be permitted to bring the matter before this Court for a ruling on whether the proposed dismissal satisfies section 554(a) of the Bankruptcy Code. The stay would be lifted upon the Debtors' service of the summons and complaint, without further order of this Court.

J. Filing Of The Complaints Under Seal

36. Maintaining the status quo would also be promoted by permitting the filing of the complaints under seal. Once filed, the actions would remain dormant. The Debtors do not intend to prosecute the actions while pursuing plan confirmation. Sealing the complaints will keep the actions inactive and would be consistent with the Debtors' intention to de-link the sealed adversary proceedings from all other activity in these chapter 11 cases and to prevent their use for any purpose by any party. Thus, sealing should promote the plan process and avoid needless costs relating to actions that remain unnecessary under the Debtors' prospective plan.

37. Moreover, sealing the actions would avoid unnecessarily alarming potential defendants. The Debtors have worked to preserve and repair their business relationship with many of the potential defendants during these cases and have negotiated or regained favorable credit terms with many suppliers and are continuing to do so. The Debtors are also engaged in negotiations with some of the potential defendants on issues unrelated to avoidance actions.

38. To enable the Debtors to preserve these potential causes of action, yet allow the Debtors to continue to implement their transformation plan and to promptly develop, negotiate, prosecute, confirm, and consummate a plan of reorganization, the Debtors seek authorization to file under seal paper copies (along with discs containing complaints in PDF format) of the complaints filed in each Adversary Proceeding against the potential defendants under seal. The Debtors also request that the case docket for any adversary proceedings initiated by the complaints likewise be sealed and not available for public access. The Debtors will coordinate with the Clerk to ensure an efficient, cost-effective approach to filing under seal the numerous actions contemplated by this Motion.

Applicable Authority

39. This Court has broad statutory authority to approve the relief requested in this Motion. The Court may "issue any order, process or judgment that is necessary or appropriate to carry out the provisions of" the Bankruptcy Code. 11 U.S.C. § 105(a). Under section 105(a) of the Bankruptcy Code, this Court has expansive equitable powers to fashion any order or decree that is in the interest of preserving or protecting the value of the debtor's assets. See Schwartz v. Aquatic Dev. Group, Inc. (In re Aquatic Dev. Group, Inc.), 352 F.3d 671, 673 (2d Cir. 2003) (Straub, J., concurring) (quoting In re Momentum Mfg. Corp., 25 F.3d 1132, 1136 (2d Cir. 1994) ("[I]t is axiomatic that bankruptcy courts are 'courts of equity, empowered to invoke equitable principles to achieve fairness and justice in the reorganization process.'"); Bird v. Crown Convenience (In re NWFX, Inc.), 864 F.2d 588, 590 (8th Cir. 1988) ("The overriding consideration in bankruptcy . . . is that equitable principles govern.") (citations omitted); In

re Cooper Properties Liquidating Trust, Inc., 61 B.R. 531, 537 (Bankr. W.D. Tenn. 1986) ("[T]he Bankruptcy Court is one of equity and as such it has a duty to protect whatever equities a debtor may have in property for the benefit of its creditors as long as that protection is implemented in a manner consistent with the bankruptcy laws."). Likewise, this Court has "exclusive jurisdiction of all the property, wherever located, of the debtor as of the commencement of [its] case, and of property of the estate," 28 U.S.C. § 1334(e), and causes of action generally constitute property of a debtor's estate. See, e.g., Citicorp Acceptance Co. v. Robison (In re Sweetwater), 884 F.2d 1323, 1326 (10th Cir. 1989).

K. Approval Of Form Of Tolling Agreements

40. The two-year deadline imposed by section 546(a) can be waived or extended by agreement of the non-debtor party to the action. See In re Rodriguez, 283 B.R. 112, 114 (Bankr. E.D.N.Y. 2001); Pugh v. Brook (In re Pugh), 158 F.3d 530, 532-38 (11th Cir. 1998); McFarland v. Leyh (In re Tex. Gen. Petroleum Corp.), 52 F.3d 1330, 1337-38 (5th Cir. 1995); Brandt v. Gelardi (In re Shape, Inc.), 138 B.R. 334, 337 (Bankr. D. Me. 1992) (plain reading of statute and legislative history support determination that section 546(a) is statute of limitations, waivable either by stipulation or failure to assert it as defense in answer, rather than jurisdictional provision, which is not subject to expansion by court order or otherwise); In re Iron-Oak Supply Corp., 162 B.R. 301, 307 (Bankr. E.D. Cal. 1993) (rejecting argument that section 546(a)(1) is statute of repose); In re M & L Bus. Machs. Inc., 153 B.R. 308, 311 (D. Colo. 1993) aff'd, 160 B.R. 850 (D. Colo. 1993) (section 546 limitations period is not jurisdictional but is waivable and subject to equitable tolling); see also Cepa Consulting Ltd. v. New York Nat'l Bank (In re Wedtech), 187 B.R. 105, 110-11 (S.D.N.Y. 1995) (treating section 546(a) as a non-jurisdictional statute of

limitation that must be raised by opposing party in a timely fashion). But see Martin v. First Nat'l Bank of Louisville (In re Butcher), 829 F.2d 596, 599-600 (6th Cir. 1987) (characterizing section 546(a) as "jurisdictional" or "substantive" statute of limitations, not subject to expansion by Bankruptcy Rule 9006(a) requiring that Saturday and Sunday be excluded in computing two-year limitations period). The Debtors should therefore be authorized to enter into stipulations tolling all applicable statutes of limitations.

L. Approval Of Avoidance Evaluation Procedures And Authority To Abandon Certain Causes Of Action

41. The Bankruptcy Code expressly authorizes a debtor to abandon property of the estate that it determines is burdensome or of inconsequential value. 11 U.S.C. § 554. Specifically, section 554 of the Bankruptcy Code provides: "After notice and a hearing, the trustee may abandon any property of the estate that is burdensome to the estate or that is of inconsequential value and benefit to the estate." 11 U.S.C. § 554(a). In determining the value and benefits of particular property for purposes of the decision to abandon the property, the debtor is afforded significant discretion. See, e.g., In re Interpictures, Inc., 168 B.R. 526, 535 (Bankr. E.D.N.Y. 1994) ("courts have placed the burden of proving an abuse of discretion of the trustee's action or inaction on abandonment on the party seeking to make the trustee act"); In re Slack, 290 B.R. 282, 284 (Bankr. D.N.J. 2003) ("Courts defer to the trustee's judgment and place the burden on the party opposing the abandonment to prove a benefit to the estate and an abuse of the trustee's discretion."); In re Cult Awareness Network, Inc., 205 B.R. 575, 579 (Bankr. N.D. Ill. 1997) (decision to abandon property "must rest on a reasonable basis"). This Court need

only ensure that the decision to abandon "reflects a business judgment made in good faith."

Cult Awareness Network, 205 B.R. at 579.¹⁴

42. Notwithstanding abandonment, the failure to file a complaint by the two-year deadline set forth in section 546(a) of the Bankruptcy Code does not prevent the Debtors from later using section 502(d) defensively. Section 502(d) provides, in pertinent part, as follows:

the court shall disallow any claim of any entity from which property is recoverable under section 542, 543, 550, or 553 of this title or that is a transferee of a transfer avoidable under section 522(f), 522(h), 544, 545, 547, 548, 549, or 724(a) of this title, unless such entity or transferee has paid the amount, or turned over any such property, for which such entity or transferee is liable under section 522(i), 542, 543, 550, or 553 of this title.

11 U.S.C. § 502(d).

43. Courts applying section 502(d) of the Bankruptcy Code have barred any and all claims asserted by creditors who are in receipt of avoidable transfers, unless the creditor first repays the amount of the avoidable transfer to the debtor's estate. See, e.g., Glinka v. Murad (In re Housecraft Indus. USA, Inc.), 310 F.3d 64, 72 n.8 (2d Cir. 2002); Germain v. Conn. Nat'l Bank, 988 F.2d 1323, 1327 (2d Cir. 1993); In re Centennial Textiles, Inc., 209 B.R. 31, 33 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 1997). Further, this Court, as well as other courts in the Southern District of New York and elsewhere, has specifically held that the section 502(d) defense may be asserted after the expiration of the two-year statute of limitations set forth in section 546(a)(1) of the Bankruptcy Code. See In re Metiom, Inc.,

¹⁴ Similar relief was granted in In re Safety-Kleen Corp., No. 00-2303 (PJW) (Bankr. D. Del. May 17, 2002. See Order (I) Establishing Omnibus Filing And Pretrial Procedures For Certain Adversary Proceedings, Including Adversary Proceedings Under 11 U.S.C. § 544, 545, 547, 548 Or 550, And (II) Granting Authority To Abandon Certain Causes Of Action,) (Docket No. 4108).

301 B.R. 634, 641 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2003) (Drain, J.); see also United States Lines, Inc. v. U.S. (In re McLean Indus.), 196 B.R. 670, 675-77 (S.D.N.Y. 1996) (Cote, J.); In re Asia Global Crossing, Ltd., 344 B.R. 247, 251 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2006) (Bernstein, J.); In re Mid Atl. Fund, Inc., 60 B.R. 604, 609-11 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 1986) (Abram, J.); El Paso City v. Am. W. Airlines, Inc. (In re Am. West Airlines, Inc.), 217 F.3d 1161, 1167 (9th Cir. 2000); cf. Comm. of Unsecured Creditors v. Commodity Credit Corp. (In re KF Dairies, Inc.), 143 B.R. 734, 736-38 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 1992) (permitting section 502(d) defense after expiration of section 549 statute of limitations). But see In re Marketing Assocs. of Am., 122 B.R. 367, 369 (Bankr. E.D. Mo. 1991) (holding that "the Trustee may not use section 502(d) defensively").

44. Here, the Debtors have proposed reasonable criteria and procedures for determining which avoidance claims should be preserved and which causes of action the Debtors should be authorized to abandon. Accordingly, the Debtors seek approval of these procedures.¹⁵

M. Extending Time For Service Of Process

45. The Bankruptcy Rules and Federal Rules of Civil Procedure grant this Court discretion to adopt and implement guidelines, such as those proposed herein, that will aid in the administration of adversary proceedings. Bankruptcy Rule 9006(b)(1)

¹⁵ Similar relief was granted in In re Service Merchandise, et al., Case No. 399-02649 (Bankr. M.D. Tenn. Mar. 21, 2001) (Paine, J.), where the bankruptcy court authorized the debtors to abandon claims against critical vendors. See Order Authorizing Abandonment And/Or Stay Of Certain Estate Causes Of Action And For Related Relief. The bankruptcy court also authorized the debtors to abandon four categories of claims: (i) claims less than \$5,000, (ii) payments of rent to landlords, (iii) customer refunds, and (iv) ordinary course reimbursements to employees. See Order (i) Establishing Omnibus Initial Filing And Pretrial Procedures For Adversary Proceedings Under 11 U.S.C § 544, 545, 547, 548, Or 553 And Certain Adversary Proceedings, (ii) Granting Authority, Pursuant to Fed. Bankr. R. P. 9019, To Compromise And Settle Such Actions, And (iii) Granting Authority To Abandon Certain De Minimis Claims And Causes Of Action dated February 27, 2001.

provides for the enlargement of time to perform acts required under the Bankruptcy Rules.¹⁶ As previously discussed, Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m) requires the court to extend the period for service of process after the filing of a complaint upon a showing of good cause. Even absent good cause, this Court has discretion to extend the 120-day service period. Mejia v. Castle Hotel, Inc., 164 F.R.D. 343, 345 (S.D.N.Y. 1996).

46. Here, the Debtors request an extension to March 31, 2008, an extension of less than 60 days. By permitting the Debtors to timely file the Adversary Proceedings but to defer serving process on defendants, the procedures would enable the Debtors to preserve valuable business relationships and to continue developing a plan of reorganization. The Debtors submit that permitting the Debtors to preserve potentially valuable assets without prejudicing the rights of any defendant constitutes good cause for the requested extension.¹⁷

N. Stay Of Adversary Proceedings Until Service Of Process

47. "[T]he power to stay proceedings is incidental to the power inherent in every court to control the disposition of the causes on its docket with economy of time and effort for itself, for counsel, and for litigants." Landis v. North American Co., 299 U.S. 248, 254 (1936); see also Air Line Pilots Ass'n v. Miller, 523 U.S. 866, 879 n.6 (1998). This inherent power is vested in bankruptcy courts as well. See Uni-Rty Corp. v.

¹⁶ Bankruptcy Rule 9006(b)(1) provides in relevant part as follows: "[W]hen an act is required or allowed to be done at or within a specified period by these rules or by a notice given thereunder or by order of court, the court for cause shown may at any time in its discretion . . . order the period enlarged if the request therefor is made before the expiration of the period originally prescribed or as extended by a previous order" Fed. R. Bankr. P. 9006(b)(1).

¹⁷ Similar relief was granted in In re Ames Dep't Stores, Inc., No. 01-42217 (REG) (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. Feb. 3, 2004). See Order Extending Time For Service Of Process With Respect To Certain Preference Actions (Docket No. 2524).

Guangdong Building, Inc. (In re Uni-Rty Corp.), No. 96 Civ. 4573 (DAB), 1998 WL 299941, at *7 (S.D.N.Y. 1998) (recognizing that bankruptcy courts possess inherent authority to stay proceedings); In re Hagerstown Fiber Ltd. P'ship, 277 B.R. 181, 199 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2002) (court has inherent power to stay proceedings before it, particularly where stay will promote judicial economy); In re Cleveland, 353 B.R. 254, 260 (Bankr. E.D. Cal. 2006) (staying adversary proceeding pursuant to court's inherent power to stay proceedings before it); Swift v. Bellucci (In re Bellucci), 119 B.R. 763, 770 (Bankr. E.D. Cal. 1990) ("a bankruptcy court has the inherent power to control its docket, including controlling the timing of proceedings on that docket"). The determination whether a stay of proceedings is appropriate "calls for the exercise of judgment, which must weigh competing interests and maintain an even balance." Landis, 299 U.S. at 248, 254-55. The party seeking the stay "bears the burden of demonstrating the wisdom and justice of a stay." John's Insulation, Inc. v. Siska Const. Co., Inc., 671 F. Supp. 289, 297 (S.D.N.Y. 1987). "[T]he basic goal [is] to avoid prejudice." Volmar Distributors v. New York Post Co., 152 F.R.D. 36, 39 (S.D.N.Y. 1993).¹⁸

48. Here, for essentially the same reasons that the Debtors have articulated for deferring issuance of summonses and service of legal process, this Court should likewise stay the proceedings while the Debtors pursue plan confirmation. The stay will not prejudice the potential defendants. In fact, the potential defendants will benefit from the stay inasmuch as they will not need to expend time or resources investigating the

¹⁸ The Volmar court articulated the following factors that may guide a court's exercise of its inherent power: "(1) the private interests of the plaintiffs in proceeding expeditiously with the civil litigation as balanced against the prejudice to the plaintiffs if delayed; (2) the private interests of and burden on the defendants; (3) the interests of the courts; (4) the interests of persons not parties to the civil litigation; and (5) the public interest." Id. at 39.

facts relating to, or defending, a lawsuit that the Debtors likely will never need to pursue.

Under these circumstances, this Court should stay each proceeding unless and until the Debtors request the Clerk of Court to issue summons in that particular proceeding.¹⁹

O. Filing Of The Complaints Under Seal

49. Section 107 of the Bankruptcy Code provides bankruptcy courts with the power to issue orders that will protect entities from potential harm that may result from the disclosure of certain confidential information. Section 107(b)(1) of the Bankruptcy Code specifically provides in part as follows: "On request of a party in interest, the bankruptcy court shall . . . protect an entity with respect to a trade secret or confidential research, development, or commercial information" 11 U.S.C. § 107(b)(1).

50. The Second Circuit has held that section 107(b) of the Bankruptcy Code and Rule 9018 of the Bankruptcy Rules do "not require that commercial information be the equivalent of a trade secret before protecting such information." Video Software Dealers Ass'n v. Orion Pictures Corp. (In re Orion Pictures Corp.), 21 F.3d 24, 28 (2d Cir. 1994). Indeed, other courts in this district have stated that it "is required to grant that relief upon the motion of a party in interest, assuming the information is of the type listed in section 107(b)." In re Global Crossing Ltd., 295 B.R. 720, 723 n.7 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2003) (citing Video Software, 21 F.3d at 27). In addition, the Second Circuit has held that a party seeking the sealing of information is required only to show that the information is confidential and commercial, and no showing of "good cause" is necessary. See Video

¹⁹ Similar relief was granted in In re Service Merchandise, Case No. 399-02649 (Bankr. M.D. Tenn. Mar. 21, 2001), where the bankruptcy court granted the debtors authority to stay certain adversary proceedings while the debtors were continuing to investigate whether they would ultimately pursue them. See Order Authorizing Abandonment And/Or Stay Of Certain Estate Causes Of Action And For Related Relief.

Software, 21 F.3d at 28. Thus, a bankruptcy court may enter a sealing order under the broad confidentiality protections in bankruptcy proceedings where necessary to protect confidential information. Id.; see also Global Crossing, 295 B.R. at 725 (The "whole point of [Bankruptcy Rule 9018] is to protect business entities from disclosure of information that could reasonably be expected to cause the entity commercial injury.").

51. Upon the request of a party in interest, a court has no discretion and must deny public access to information that falls within one of the categories under Bankruptcy Code section 107(b). Orion Pictures Corp., 21 F.3d at 27. If, however, the information to be protected does not fit into any of the specified categories, then the court has discretion to decide if the requesting party has shown cause to permit filing under seal. See In re Bennett Funding Group, Inc., 226 B.R. 331, 336 (Bankr. N.D.N.Y. 1998) (citing Nixon v. Warner Communications, Inc., 435 U.S. 589, 599 (1978)); Orion Pictures Corp., 21 F.3d at 27 ("In limited circumstances, courts must deny access to judicial documents").

52. The power to seal also arises from the inherent power of the court to control dissemination of its records. See In re Robert Landau Assocs., Inc. 50 B.R. 670, 676, 677 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 1985) (court's inherent power to seal, despite section 107(b)'s inapplicability, is implicit in section 704(7)'s exception to disclosure—"unless the court orders otherwise"); In re I.G. Servs. Ltd., 244 B.R. 377, 388 n.14 (Bankr. W.D. Tex. 2000) (concluding that if section 107 applied to records not filed with court, court still had power to control dissemination of information beyond scope of section 107), rev'd on other grounds sub nom. San Antonio Express-News v. Blackwell (In re Blackwell), 263 B.R. 505 (W.D. Tex. 2000); In re Apex Oil Co., 101 B.R. 92, 101-02 (Bankr. E.D. Mo. 1989)

(citing Robert Landau Assocs.); In re EPIC Assocs. V, 54 B.R. 445, 450 (Bankr. E.D. Va. 1985) (exercising inherent supervisory power over its own records and files). When deciding to allow a debtor to file documents under seal, courts should look at all relevant facts and circumstances and weigh the competing interests of the debtor seeking protection with the general right of the public to access documents filed in a bankruptcy case.

Bennett Funding, 226 B.R. at 336. Relevant factors include (1) whether the debtor will suffer irreparable harm if the information is disclosed, (2) whether the debtor can demonstrate that disclosure will have a negative impact on its estate such that the debtor would be at a disadvantage in comparison with competitors, and (3) whether the debtor is seeking to protect the information on a temporary basis or on a permanent basis. See generally In re Hemple, 295 B.R. 200, 202 (Bankr. D. Vt. 2003).

53. The circumstances of this case demonstrate the need for confidentiality to preserve the status quo and to avoid unnecessary harm to the Debtors and others that would be caused by filing these complaints publicly.²⁰

* * *

54. In light of the benefits that the relief requested would provide to the Debtors, defendants in Adversary Proceedings, and other stakeholders, and given the

²⁰ Similar relief was granted in In re Service Merchandise, et al., Case No. 399-02649 (Bankr. M.D. Tenn. Feb. 27, 2001), the bankruptcy court granted the debtors authority to file under seal certain adversary proceedings so that the debtors could continue negotiations with defendants, who were also the debtors' business partners, and to ensure that such defendants continued doing business with the debtors. See Order (i) Establishing Omnibus Initial Filing And Pretrial Procedures For Adversary Proceedings Under 11 U.S.C § 544, 545, 547, 548, Or 553 And Certain Adversary Proceedings, (ii) Granting Authority, Pursuant to Fed. Bankr. R. P. 9019, To Compromise And Settle Such Actions, And (iii) Granting Authority To Abandon Certain De Minimis Claims And Causes Of Action (permitting debtor to file documents under seal in any adversary proceeding in which debtors also sought to stay the proceeding).

authority in support of such relief, the Debtors believe that entry of the proposed order is justified and appropriate.

Notice Of Motion

55. Notice of this Motion has been provided in accordance with the Supplemental Order Under 11 U.S.C. §§ 102(1) And 105 And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing Omnibus Hearing Dates And Certain Notice, Case Management, And Administrative Procedures, entered March 20, 2006 (Docket No. 2883) (the "Supplemental Case Management Order"), and the Amended Eighth Supplemental Order Under 11 U.S.C. §§ 102(1) And 105 And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 9006, 9007, and 9014 Establishing Omnibus Hearing Dates And Certain Notice, Case Management, And Administrative Procedures, entered October 26, 2006 (Docket No. 5418) (together with the Supplemental Case Management Order, the "Case Management Orders").²¹ In light of the nature of the relief requested, the Debtors submit that no other or further notice is necessary.

Memorandum Of Law

56. Because the legal points and authorities upon which this Motion relies are incorporated herein, the Debtors respectfully request that the requirement of the service and filing of a separate memorandum of law under Local Rule 9013-1(b) of the Local Bankruptcy Rules for the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York be deemed satisfied.

²¹ In addition to giving notice in accordance with the Case Management Orders, the Debtors will describe the relief obtained through this Motion in their disclosure statement for the Debtors' plan of reorganization.

WHEREFORE the Debtors respectfully request that this Court enter an
order (i) granting the Motion and (ii) granting them such other and further relief as is just.

Dated: New York, New York
August 6, 2007

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER
& FLOM LLP

By: /s/ John Wm. Butler, Jr.
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
George N. Panagakis (GP 0770)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700

- and -

By: /s/ Kayalyn A. Marafioti
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
George N. Panagakos (GP 0770)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X
In re : Chapter 11
DELPHI CORPORATION, et al., : Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
: (Jointly Administered)
Debtors. :
-----X

STIPULATION TOLLING APPLICABLE STATUTES OF LIMITATIONS
WITH RESPECT TO CLAIMS AGAINST [DEFENDANT]

Delphi Corporation ("Delphi") and certain of its subsidiaries and affiliates,
debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases (collectively, the

"Debtors"), and [Defendant], and its affiliates and subsidiaries, hereby agree and state as follows:

WHEREAS on October 8, 2007 and October 14, 2007 (together, the "Filing Date"), the Debtors filed voluntary petitions for relief under chapter 11 of title 11 of the United States Code (the "Bankruptcy Code") in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York (the "Bankruptcy Court").

WHEREAS in the course of the Debtors' bankruptcy cases, claims and causes of action under or through various provisions of the Bankruptcy Code, including, without limitation, sections 502, 541, 544, 545, 547, 548, 550, and 553 of the Bankruptcy Code, might be asserted by one or more of the Debtors or asserted on behalf of the Debtors' estates against [Defendant].

WHEREAS [Defendant] might wish to assert defenses, setoffs, and counterclaims to such claims or causes of action by the Debtors.

WHEREAS the Debtors and [Defendant] seek to avoid the cost and expense of unnecessary motion practice and litigation and seek to preserve all of their respective legal rights without allowing any applicable statute of limitations to expire and without acknowledging in any way that valid claims, causes of action, or defenses thereto exist or do not exist.

THEREFORE, the Debtors and [Defendant] stipulate and agree as follows:

1. The running of any applicable statute of limitations under sections 108 and 546(a) of the Bankruptcy Code, and all other time limitations or time-based defenses concerning any claim or cause of action against [Defendant] which might

be asserted by one or more of the Debtors or asserted on behalf of the Debtors' estates under or through various provisions of the Bankruptcy Code, including, without limitation, any of sections 502, 541, 544, 545, 547, 548, and 553 of the Bankruptcy Code (the "Claims"), is hereby tolled.

2. The time elapsed during the Tolled Period (defined below) with respect to the Claims is excluded from any computation of time for purposes of any argument or defense based on statutes of limitations, laches, estoppel, waiver, and any other time-based defense or right. As of the termination of this stipulation, the rights of each of the undersigned parties and their successors, assigns, and legal representatives with respect to the Claims will be as they were immediately before the execution of this stipulation with respect to the interposition of any argument or defense based on statutes of limitations, laches, estoppel, waiver, and any other time-based defense or right. This stipulation does not revive any Claim which was barred by the statute of limitations or any other time-based defense before the date this stipulation was executed.

3. The provisions of this stipulation extend up to and include the first business day nine months following entry of an order confirming the Plan, as the same may have been amended or modified before its entry (the "Tolled Period").

4. Notwithstanding the provisions contained herein, in the sole and absolute discretion of the Debtors, or their respective successors and assigns, the Debtors or such successors and assigns are permitted to commence any litigation against [Defendant] during the Tolled Period.

5. Each of the parties acknowledges that it has read all of the terms of this stipulation and enters into those terms voluntarily and without duress.

6. This stipulation contains the entire agreement between the parties regarding the provisions set forth above and may be modified only in a writing signed by the parties or their duly appointed agents, upon notice to counsel for the an official committee of unsecured creditors

7. This stipulation is not to be construed, and is not intended, as an admission or suggestion that any valid claim or cause of action exists against [Defendant] or that any valid defense to any such claim or cause of action exists.

8. Except as expressly set forth in this stipulation, each of the parties hereto reserves all rights and remedies that it may have against the other.

9. The parties intend that this stipulation and Order and the tolling contemplated hereby shall not impair, diminish, or eliminate any jurisdiction of the Bankruptcy Court, to the extent that it has jurisdiction as of the date of execution of this stipulation, to adjudicate any claim, action, or proceeding relating to or arising out of any matter referred to above. In particular, the parties understand, and the Bankruptcy Court by approving this stipulation or form of stipulation finds and determines, that section 546(a) of the Bankruptcy Code constitutes a true statute of limitations which may be tolled by the parties' agreement. The parties nevertheless recognize that there exist dicta in certain reported cases indicating that some courts (which have considered section 546(a) of the Bankruptcy Code to impose a temporal limit on the jurisdiction of the Bankruptcy Court) might hold that section 546(a) could not be tolled by agreement. If a final and non-

appealable order of a court of competent jurisdiction determines that (i) the time limitations described in section 546(a) cannot be effectively tolled by agreement and (ii) the Bankruptcy Court therefore cannot adjudicate any such claim, action, or proceeding, then the non-Debtor party to each stipulation (as a new and separate obligation and in consideration of the forbearance provided for hereby) must pay to each Debtor that amount, if any, which the Bankruptcy Court by final order determines would have been the ultimate net liability of the non-Debtor party to such Debtor on any such claim, action, or proceeding if an adversary proceeding on such claim, action, or proceeding had been commenced in Bankruptcy Court on the date this stipulation was executed, but giving full effect to any and all other defenses or counterclaims of any kind or nature that the non-Debtor party could assert in such an adversary proceeding. If the Bankruptcy Court is not competent to make such a determination, the determination will be made by binding arbitration, and the Debtors and the non-Debtor party hereby irrevocably submit to such binding arbitration in accordance with the arbitration rules of [_____] (but only in the event that the Bankruptcy Court is not competent to make the determination as described above) and to entry of judgment upon the arbitration award in the Bankruptcy Court or any court of competent jurisdiction.

10. This stipulation is deemed to have been jointly drafted by the parties hereto, and, in constructing and interpreting this stipulation, no provision may be construed and interpreted for or against any of the parties because such provision or any other provision of this stipulation, or this stipulation as a whole, was purportedly prepared or requested by that party.

11. This stipulation and the rights and obligations of the parties hereunder are governed by, and construed and interpreted in accordance with, the laws of the State of New York and, to the extent applicable, federal bankruptcy law, and any action or proceeding to enforce the rights and obligations of the parties hereunder must originally and exclusively be brought in the Bankruptcy Court.

12. This stipulation is effective as of the date it is fully executed and is binding upon, and inures to the benefit of, the successors, representatives, assigns, and heirs of the parties hereto.

13. Each stipulation is deemed "So Ordered" upon execution thereof.

14. This stipulation may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile signature, and all executed counterparts and facsimile signatures taken together constitute one document.

15. Except as otherwise expressly provided herein, the use of the singular of any word includes the plural and the use of the plural includes the singular.

DATED: New York, New York
_____, 2007

[Debtor-in-Possession]

[Defendant]

By: _____

By: _____

[Attorneys for Debtor-in-Possession]

[Attorneys for Defendant]

By: _____

By: _____

Hearing Date And Time: August 16, 2007 At 10:00 A.M.
Objection Deadline: August 13, 2007 At 4:00 P.M.

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
George N. Panagakos (GP 0770)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----	X	
	:	
In re	:	Chapter 11
	:	
DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> ,	:	Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
	:	
Debtors.	:	(Jointly Administered)
	:	
-----	X	

NOTICE OF EXPEDITED MOTION FOR ORDER UNDER 11 U.S.C. §§ 102(1)(A),
105(a), 107, 108(a)(2), AND 546(a) AND FED. R. BANKR. P. 7004, 9006(c),
AND 9018 (i) AUTHORIZING DEBTORS TO ENTER INTO STIPULATIONS
TOLLING STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS WITH RESPECT TO
CERTAIN CLAIMS, (ii) AUTHORIZING PROCEDURES TO IDENTIFY CAUSES
OF ACTION THAT SHOULD BE PRESERVED, AND (iii) ESTABLISHING
PROCEDURES FOR CERTAIN ADVERSARY PROCEEDINGS INCLUDING
THOSE COMMENCED BY DEBTORS UNDER 11 U.S.C. § 541, 544, 545, 547, 548, OR 553

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on August 6, 2007, Delphi Corporation ("Delphi") and certain of its subsidiaries and affiliates, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases (collectively, the "Debtors"), filed an Expedited Motion For Order Under 11 U.S.C. §§ 102(1)(A), 105(a), 107, 108(a)(2), And 546(a) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7004, 9006(c), And 9018 (i) Authorizing Debtors To Enter Into Stipulations Tolling Statute Of Limitations With Respect To Certain Claims, (ii) Authorizing Procedures To Identify Causes Of Action That Should Be Preserved, And (iii) Establishing Procedures For Certain Adversary Proceedings Including Those Commenced By Debtors Under 11 U.S.C. § 541, 544, 545, 547, 548, Or 553 (the "Motion").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that a hearing to consider approval of the Motion will be held on August 16, 2007, at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time) (the "Hearing") before the Honorable Robert D. Drain, United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York, One Bowling Green, Room 610, New York, New York 10004.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that objections, if any, to the Motion must (a) be in writing, (b) conform to the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, the Local Bankruptcy Rules for the Southern District of New York, and the Supplemental Order Under 11 U.S.C. §§ 102(1) and 105 and Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 9006, 9007, and 9014 Establishing Omnibus Hearing Dates And Certain Notice, Case Management, and Administrative Procedures, entered March 20, 2006 (Docket No. 2883) (the "Supplemental Case Management Order") and the Amended Eighth Supplemental Order Under 11 U.S.C. §§ 102(1) and 105 and Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 9006, 9007, and 9014 Establishing Omnibus Hearing Dates and Certain Notice, Case Management, and Administrative Procedures, entered October 26, 2006 (Docket No. 5418)

(together with the Supplemental Case Management Order, the "Case Management Orders"), (c) be filed with the Bankruptcy Court in accordance with General Order M-242 (as amended) – registered users of the Bankruptcy Court's case filing system must file electronically, and all other parties-in-interest must file on a 3.5 inch disk (preferably in Portable Document Format (PDF), WordPerfect, or any other Windows-based word processing format), (d) be submitted in hard-copy form directly to the chambers of the Honorable Robert D. Drain, United States Bankruptcy Judge, and (e) be served upon (i) Delphi Corporation, 5725 Delphi Drive, Troy, Michigan 48098 (Att'n: General Counsel), (ii) counsel to the Debtors, Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP, 333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100, Chicago, Illinois 60606 (Att'n: John Wm. Butler, Jr.), (iii) counsel for the agent under the postpetition credit facility, Davis Polk & Wardwell, 450 Lexington Avenue, New York, New York 10017 (Att'n: Donald Bernstein and Brian Resnick), (iv) counsel for the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors, Latham & Watkins LLP, 885 Third Avenue, New York, New York 10022 (Att'n: Robert J. Rosenberg and Mark A. Broude), (v) counsel for the Official Committee of Equity Security Holders, Fried, Frank, Harris, Shriver & Jacobson LLP, One New York Plaza, New York, New York 10004 (Att'n: Bonnie Steingart), and (vi) the Office of the United States Trustee for the Southern District of New York, 33 Whitehall Street, Suite 2100, New York, New York 10004 (Att'n: Alicia M. Leonhard), in each case so as to be **received** no later than **4:00 p.m. (prevailing Eastern time) on August 13, 2007** (the "Objection Deadline").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that only those objections made as set forth herein and in accordance with the Case Management Orders will be considered by the Bankruptcy Court at the Hearing. If no objections to the Motion are timely filed and served in accordance with the procedures set forth herein and in the Case Management Orders, the Bankruptcy Court may enter an order granting the Motion without further notice.

Dated: New York, New York
August 6, 2007

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER
& FLOM LLP

By: /s/ John Wm. Butler, Jr.
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
George N. Panagakis (GP 0770)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700

- and -

By: /s/ Kayalyn A. Marafioti
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X	
In re	: Chapter 11
DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> ,	: Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
Debtors.	: (Jointly Administered)
-----X	

ORDER UNDER 11 U.S.C. §§ 102(1)(A), 105(a), 107, 108(a)(2),
AND 546(a) AND FED. R. BANKR. P. 7004, 9006(c), AND 9018
(i) AUTHORIZING DEBTORS TO ENTER INTO STIPULATIONS
TOLLING STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS WITH RESPECT TO CERTAIN
CLAIMS, (ii) AUTHORIZING PROCEDURES TO IDENTIFY CAUSES OF
ACTION THAT SHOULD BE PRESERVED, AND (iii) ESTABLISHING
PROCEDURES FOR CERTAIN ADVERSARY PROCEEDINGS INCLUDING THOSE
COMMENCED BY DEBTORS UNDER 11 U.S.C. § 541, 544, 545, 547, 548, OR 553

("PRESERVATION OF ESTATE CLAIMS PROCEDURES ORDER")

Upon the motion, dated August 6, 2007 (the "Motion"), of Delphi Corporation ("Delphi") and certain of its subsidiaries and affiliates, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases (collectively, the "Debtors"), for an order (the "Order") under 11 U.S.C. §§ 102(1)(A), 105(a), 107, 108(a)(2), and 546(a) and Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 7004, 9006(c), and 9018 (i) authorizing the Debtors to enter into stipulations tolling the statute of limitations with respect to certain claims, (ii) authorizing procedures to identify causes of action that should be preserved, and (iii) establishing procedures for certain Adversary Proceedings¹ commenced by the Debtors under 11 U.S.C. § 541, 544, 545, 547, 548, or 553; and upon the record of the

¹ Capitalized terms used and not defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed to them in the Motion.

hearing held on the Motion; and this Court having determined that the relief requested in the Motion is in the best interests of the Debtors, their estates, their creditors, and other parties-in-interest; and it appearing that proper and adequate notice of the Motion has been given and that no other or further notice is necessary; and after due deliberation thereon; and good and sufficient cause appearing therefor, it is hereby

ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED THAT:

1. The Motion is GRANTED.
2. The filing and service procedures set forth and required under the Bankruptcy Rules, the Local Rules, and any orders of this Court in these chapter 11 cases are modified or waived, as appropriate, as set forth herein with respect to the Adversary Proceedings.
3. Scope Of The Procedures. The procedures established by this Order apply to each Adversary Proceeding that the Debtors identify to the Clerk as being subject to these procedures.
4. Approval Of Tolling Agreements. The Debtors are hereby authorized to enter into stipulations, substantially in the form annexed to the Motion as Exhibit B, tolling the statute of limitations with respect to claims described in the Motion. Each Debtor is deemed to have entered into a stipulation with other Debtors and affiliated non-Debtor entities.
5. Procedures To Identify Causes Of Action And Abandonment Authority. The procedures set forth in the Motion to identify causes of action that should be preserved are approved. The Debtors are authorized, without the need for any further

order or any further notice under Bankruptcy Rule 6007(a), to abandon those causes of action or categories of causes of action that Debtors propose in the Motion to abandon. Subject to the procedures set forth in the Motion, the Debtors are further authorized to abandon certain causes of action not specifically identified in the Motion that they determine should not be pursued, including the categories of actions set forth in the Motion, without the need for any further order or any further notice under Bankruptcy Rule 6007(a). The Debtors may abandon additional causes of action after giving notice to the Statutory Committees. If a Statutory Committee objects within 10 days after service of the notice, the Debtors may bring the matter before this Court for a ruling on whether the proposed abandonment satisfies section 554(a) of the Bankruptcy Code.

6. Scope Of Fraudulent Transfer Review. For purposes of identifying and preserving potential fraudulent transfer claims, the Debtors need only review the following categories of transactions: merger and acquisition deals at or exceeding \$20 million, transfers to Delphi's board of directors or strategy board members other than for compensation or ordinary-course expense reimbursement (if any), unusual securities transactions (if any), dividend distributions to 5% shareholders, and Delphi's financially troubled supplier program.

7. Filing Of Complaints Under Seal. The Clerk of Court is directed to accept for filing, under seal, paper copies of the complaint in each Adversary Proceeding that the Debtors indicate are subject to these procedures. The Clerk of Court also is directed to seal the case docket for any such Adversary Proceeding so that it is not available for public access. The Debtors shall coordinate with the Clerk of Court to

accomplish an efficient and cost-effective filing of the complaints contemplated by this order. The Debtors shall submit to the Clerk, under seal, appropriate electronic media containing PDF copies of the complaints. This order shall not preclude the Debtors, in their sole discretion, from making a copy of a complaint available to parties. The Debtors and GM shall have leave to file, under seal, a stipulation that contains tolling provisions, consistent with this order, and other agreements of the parties with respect to the sealed complaint involving GM, which stipulation shall be deemed "so ordered" and shall be sealed in accordance with the terms of this order.

8. Modification Of Federal Rule Of Civil Procedure 4(m). The Debtors shall have until March 31, 2008 to serve each defendant with summons and complaint, without prejudice to further extensions.

9. Stay Of Adversary Proceedings. All activity in the Adversary Proceedings that the Debtors indicate are subject to these procedures shall be stayed until the earlier of (i) the Debtors' service of a summons and complaint on the defendant in any Adversary Proceeding and (ii) further order of this Court. Notwithstanding the stay, the Debtors may amend their complaint during the stay. Also, during the stay, the Debtors may dismiss any Adversary Proceeding after notice to counsel to the Statutory Committees. If a Statutory Committee objects within 10 days after service of the notice of dismissal, the Debtors may bring the matter before this Court for a ruling on whether the proposed dismissal satisfies section 554(a) of the Bankruptcy Code.

10. Deferral Of Issuance Of Summons. The Clerk of Court is directed not to issue summons until either the stay is lifted with respect to a particular Adversary Proceeding or the Debtors request the Clerk of Court to issue a summons.

11. Service Of Order With Summons And Complaint. The Debtors must serve a copy of this order upon each defendant in any Adversary Proceeding either when the Debtors serve a summons and complaint on the defendant or as soon thereafter as practicable.

12. Additional Procedures. This Order is without prejudice to the Debtors' seeking additional procedures to govern the Adversary Proceedings.

13. This Court shall retain jurisdiction to hear and determine all matters arising from the implementation of this order.

14. The requirement under Rule 9013-1(b) of the Local Bankruptcy Rules for the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York for the service and filing of a separate memorandum of law is deemed satisfied by the Motion.

Dated: August ___, 2007
New York, New York

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

EXHIBIT H

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X	
	:
In re	:
	:
DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> ,	:
	:
Debtors.	:
	:
-----X	

ORDER UNDER 11 U.S.C. §§ 102(1)(A), 105(a), 107, 108(a)(2),
AND 546(a) AND FED. R. BANKR. P. 7004, 9006(c), AND 9018
(i) AUTHORIZING DEBTORS TO ENTER INTO STIPULATIONS
TOLLING STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS WITH RESPECT TO CERTAIN
CLAIMS, (ii) AUTHORIZING PROCEDURES TO IDENTIFY CAUSES OF
ACTION THAT SHOULD BE PRESERVED, AND (iii) ESTABLISHING
PROCEDURES FOR CERTAIN ADVERSARY PROCEEDINGS INCLUDING THOSE
COMMENCED BY DEBTORS UNDER 11 U.S.C. § 541, 544, 545, 547, 548, OR 553

("PRESERVATION OF ESTATE CLAIMS PROCEDURES ORDER")

Upon the motion, dated August 6, 2007 (the "Motion"), of Delphi Corporation ("Delphi") and certain of its subsidiaries and affiliates, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases (collectively, the "Debtors"), for an order (the "Order") under 11 U.S.C. §§ 102(1)(A), 105(a), 107, 108(a)(2), and 546(a) and Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 7004, 7016(b), 9006, and 9018 (i) authorizing the Debtors to enter into stipulations tolling the statute of limitations with respect to certain claims, (ii) authorizing procedures to identify causes of action that should be preserved, and

(iii) establishing procedures for certain Adversary Proceedings¹ commenced by the Debtors under 11 U.S.C. § 541, 544, 545, 547, 548, or 553; and upon the record of the hearing held on the Motion; and this Court having determined that the relief requested in the Motion is in the best interests of the Debtors, their estates, their creditors, and other parties-in-interest; and it appearing that proper and adequate notice of the Motion has been given and that no other or further notice is necessary; and after due deliberation thereon; and good and sufficient cause appearing therefor, it is hereby

ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED THAT:

1. The Motion is GRANTED.
2. The filing and service procedures set forth under the Bankruptcy Rules, the Local Rules, and any orders of this Court in these chapter 11 cases are modified or waived, as the case may be, as provided herein with respect to the Adversary Proceedings.
3. Scope Of The Procedures. The procedures established by this Order apply to each Adversary Proceeding that the Debtors identify to the Clerk of the Court as being subject to these procedures.
4. Approval Of Tolling Agreements. The Debtors are hereby authorized to enter into stipulations, substantially in the form attached hereto as Exhibit 1, tolling the statute of limitations with respect to claims described in the Motion for the tolling period described in the Motion. Each Debtor is deemed to have entered into such a

¹ Capitalized terms used and not defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed to them in the Motion.

stipulation with other Debtors and affiliated non-Debtor entities either controlled by the Debtors or that had actual notice of this Motion.

5. Procedures To Identify Causes Of Action And Abandonment

Authority. The procedures set forth in the Motion to identify causes of action that should be preserved are approved. The Debtors are authorized, without the need for any further order or any further notice under Bankruptcy Rule 6007(a), to abandon those causes of action or categories of causes of action that the Debtors propose in the Motion to abandon. Subject to the procedures set forth in the Motion, the Debtors are further authorized to abandon without further notice causes of action falling within the additional categories of causes of action identified in the Motion and which they determine should not be pursued, without the need for any further order or any further notice under Bankruptcy Rule 6007(a) with the exception of the notice and opportunity for a hearing provided in the next sentence. The Debtors may abandon such additional causes of action after giving 10 days' notice thereof to the Statutory Committees; if a Statutory Committee objects within 10 days after service of the notice, the Debtors may bring the matter before this Court for a ruling on whether the proposed abandonment satisfies section 554(a) of the Bankruptcy Code. Notwithstanding any such abandonment, the Debtors fully reserve and preserve all of their rights under section 502(d) of the Bankruptcy Code.

6. Scope Of Fraudulent Transfer Review. For purposes of identifying

and preserving potential fraudulent transfer claims, the Debtors need only review the following categories of transactions: merger and acquisition deals at or exceeding \$20 million, transfers to Delphi's board of directors or strategy board members other than

for compensation or ordinary-course expense reimbursement (if any), unusual securities transactions (if any), dividend distributions to 5% shareholders, and Delphi's "financially troubled supplier" program.

7. Filing Of Complaints Under Seal. The Clerk of Court is directed to accept for filing, under seal, paper copies of the complaint in each Adversary Proceeding that the Debtors inform the Clerk is subject to these procedures. The Debtors may also file under seal any amended complaint in the Adversary Proceeding for so long as the Adversary Proceeding remains stayed in accordance with paragraph 9 below. Absent further order of the Court or termination of the stay in accordance with paragraph 9 below, the case docket for any such Adversary Proceeding shall not disclose the identity of any defendant in the Adversary Proceeding and shall not disclose the complaint or any amended complaint in the Adversary Proceeding. The Debtors shall coordinate with the Clerk of Court to accomplish an efficient and cost-effective filing of the complaints and amended complaints contemplated by this order. The Debtors shall submit to the Clerk, under seal, appropriate electronic media containing PDF copies of the complaints and amended complaints. This order shall not preclude the Debtors, in their sole discretion, from making a copy of a complaint or amended complaint available to parties; provided that the Debtors maintain an internal record that they, and not the Clerk, made the complaint or amended complaint available. The Debtors and GM shall have leave to file, under seal, a stipulation acceptable to the Statutory Committees that contains tolling provisions, consistent with this order, and other agreements of the parties with respect to the sealed complaint involving GM, which stipulation shall be deemed "so ordered" and

shall be sealed in accordance with the terms of this order. The complaint and any amended complaint filed in an Adversary Proceeding shall remain under seal until the stay terminates in accordance with paragraph 9 below.

8. Extension of the Time for Service Under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(m). The Debtors shall have until March 31, 2008 to serve each defendant in the Adversary Proceedings with summons and complaint, without prejudice to seek further extensions.

9. Stay Of Adversary Proceedings. All activity in the Adversary Proceedings denominated by the Debtors as subject to these procedures shall be stayed until the earlier of (i) the Debtors' service of a summons and complaint on the defendant in any Adversary Proceeding and (ii) further order of this Court after application therefor. Notwithstanding the stay, the Debtors may amend their complaint during the stay. Also, during the stay, the Debtors may dismiss any Adversary Proceeding after 10 days' notice to counsel to the Statutory Committees. If a Statutory Committee objects within 10 days after service of the notice of dismissal, the Debtors may bring the matter before this Court for a ruling on whether the proposed dismissal satisfies section 554(a) of the Bankruptcy Code.

10. Deferral Of Issuance Of Summons. The Clerk of Court is directed not to issue summons in any Adversary Proceeding denominated by the Debtors as subject to these procedures until either the stay is lifted with respect to such Adversary Proceeding or the Debtors request the Clerk of Court to issue a summons.

11. Service Of Order With Summons And Complaint. The Debtors must serve a copy of this order upon each defendant in any Adversary Proceeding either when the Debtors serve a summons and complaint on the defendant or as soon thereafter as practicable.

12. Additional Procedures. This Order is without prejudice to the Debtors' seeking additional procedures to govern the Adversary Proceedings.

13. This Court shall retain jurisdiction to hear and determine all matters arising from the implementation of this order.

14. The requirement under Rule 9013-1(b) of the Local Bankruptcy Rules for the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York for the service and filing of a separate memorandum of law is deemed satisfied by the Motion.

Dated: August 16, 2007
New York, New York

/s/ Robert D. Drain
UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
George N. Panagakos (GP 0770)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----	- x	
	:	
In re	:	Chapter 11
	:	
DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> ,	:	Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
	:	
	:	(Jointly Administered)
Debtors.	:	
-----	x	

STIPULATION TOLLING APPLICABLE STATUTES OF LIMITATIONS
WITH RESPECT TO CLAIMS AGAINST [DEFENDANT]

Delphi Corporation ("Delphi") and certain of its subsidiaries and affiliates,
debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases (collectively, the "Debtors"), and
[Defendant], and its affiliates and subsidiaries, hereby agree and state as follows:

WHEREAS on October 8, 2007 and October 14, 2007 (together, the "Filing Date"), the

Debtors filed voluntary petitions for relief under chapter 11 of title 11 of the United States Code (the "Bankruptcy Code") in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York (the "Bankruptcy Court").

WHEREAS in the course of the Debtors' bankruptcy cases, claims and causes of action under or through various provisions of the Bankruptcy Code, including, without limitation, sections 502, 541, 544, 545, 547, 548, 550, and 553 of the Bankruptcy Code, might be asserted by one or more of the Debtors or asserted on behalf of the Debtors' estates against [Defendant].

WHEREAS [Defendant] might wish to assert defenses, setoffs, and counterclaims to such claims or causes of action by the Debtors.

WHEREAS the Debtors and [Defendant] seek to avoid the cost and expense of unnecessary motion practice and litigation and seek to preserve all of their respective legal rights without allowing any applicable statute of limitations to expire and without acknowledging in any way that valid claims, causes of action, or defenses thereto exist or do not exist.

THEREFORE, the Debtors and [Defendant] stipulate and agree as follows:

1. The running of any applicable statute of limitations under sections 108 and 546(a) of the Bankruptcy Code, and all other time limitations or time-based defenses concerning any claim or cause of action against [Defendant] which might be asserted by one or more of the Debtors or asserted on behalf of the Debtors' estates under or through various provisions of the Bankruptcy Code, including, without limitation, any of sections 502, 541, 544, 545, 547, 548, and 553 of the Bankruptcy Code (the "Claims"), is hereby tolled.

2. The time elapsed during the Tolled Period (defined below) with respect to the Claims is excluded from any computation of time for purposes of any argument or defense based on statutes of limitations, laches, estoppel, waiver, and any other time-based defense or

right. As of the termination of this stipulation, the rights of each of the undersigned parties and their successors, assigns, and legal representatives with respect to the Claims will be as they were immediately before the execution of this stipulation with respect to the interposition of any argument or defense based on statutes of limitations, laches, estoppel, waiver, and any other time-based defense or right. This stipulation does not revive any Claim which was barred by the statute of limitations or any other time-based defense before the date this stipulation was executed.

3. The provisions of this stipulation extend up to and include the first business day nine months following entry of an order confirming the Plan, as the same may have been amended or modified before its entry (the "Tolled Period").

4. Notwithstanding the provisions contained herein, in the sole and absolute discretion of the Debtors, or their respective successors and assigns, the Debtors or such successors and assigns are permitted to commence any litigation against [Defendant] during the Tolled Period.

5. Each of the parties acknowledges that it has read all of the terms of this stipulation and enters into those terms voluntarily and without duress.

6. This stipulation contains the entire agreement between the parties regarding the provisions set forth above and may be modified only in a writing signed by the parties or their duly appointed agents, upon notice to counsel for the official committee of unsecured creditors.

7. This stipulation is not to be construed, and is not intended, as an admission or suggestion that any valid claim or cause of action exists against [Defendant] or that any valid defense to any such claim or cause of action exists.

8. Except as expressly set forth in this stipulation, each of the parties hereto reserves all rights and remedies that it may have against the other.

9. The parties intend that this stipulation and Order and the tolling contemplated hereby shall not impair, diminish, or eliminate any jurisdiction of the Bankruptcy Court, to the extent that it has jurisdiction as of the date of execution of this stipulation, to adjudicate any claim, action, or proceeding relating to or arising out of any matter referred to above. In particular, the parties understand, and the Bankruptcy Court by approving this stipulation or form of stipulation finds and determines, that section 546(a) of the Bankruptcy Code constitutes a true statute of limitations which may be tolled by the parties' agreement. The parties nevertheless recognize that there exist dicta in certain reported cases indicating that some courts (which have considered section 546(a) of the Bankruptcy Code to impose a temporal limit on the jurisdiction of the Bankruptcy Court) might hold that section 546(a) could not be tolled by agreement. If a final and non-appealable order of a court of competent jurisdiction determines that (i) the time limitations described in section 546(a) cannot be effectively tolled by agreement and (ii) the Bankruptcy Court therefore cannot adjudicate any such claim, action, or proceeding, then the non-Debtor party to each stipulation (as a new and separate obligation and in consideration of the forbearance provided for hereby) must pay to each Debtor that amount, if any, which the Bankruptcy Court by final order determines would have been the ultimate net liability of the non-Debtor party to such Debtor on any such claim, action, or proceeding if an adversary proceeding on such claim, action, or proceeding had been commenced in Bankruptcy Court on the date this stipulation was executed, but giving full effect to any and all other defenses or counterclaims of any kind or nature that the non-Debtor party could assert in such an adversary proceeding. If the Bankruptcy Court is not competent to make such a determination,

the determination will be made by final and binding arbitration in New York City, New York, and the Debtors and the non-Debtor party hereby irrevocably submit to such binding arbitration before a single arbitrator administered by the American Arbitration Association in accordance with its Commercial Arbitration Rules and, in all disputes regardless of dollar amount at issue, its Procedures For Large, Complex Commercial Disputes (the "AAA Rules") (but only in the event that the Bankruptcy Court is not competent to make the determination as described above) and to entry of judgment upon the arbitration award in the Bankruptcy Court or any court of competent jurisdiction. In addition to the qualifications required by the AAA Rules, the arbitrator must be a lawyer who has practiced bankruptcy law for at least five years, a lawyer on the Register of Mediators maintained by the Clerk of the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York, or a former bankruptcy judge.

10. This stipulation is deemed to have been jointly drafted by the parties hereto, and, in constructing and interpreting this stipulation, no provision may be construed and interpreted for or against any of the parties because such provision or any other provision of this stipulation, or this stipulation as a whole, was purportedly prepared or requested by that party.

11. This stipulation and the rights and obligations of the parties hereunder are governed by, and construed and interpreted in accordance with, the laws of the State of New York and, to the extent applicable, federal bankruptcy law, and any action or proceeding to enforce the rights and obligations of the parties hereunder must originally and exclusively be brought in the Bankruptcy Court.

12. This stipulation is effective as of the date it is fully executed and is binding upon, and inures to the benefit of, the successors, representatives, assigns, and heirs of the parties hereto.

13. Pursuant to the Order of the Bankruptcy Court in these cases, dated August 16, 2007 [Docket # ____], this stipulation is deemed "So Ordered" upon its execution by the parties.

14. This stipulation may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile signature, and all executed counterparts and facsimile signatures taken together constitute one document.

15. Except as otherwise expressly provided herein, the use of the singular of any word includes the plural and the use of the plural includes the singular.

DATED: New York, New York
_____, 2007

[Debtor-in-Possession]

[Defendant]

By: _____

By: _____

[Attorneys for Debtor-in-Possession]

[Attorneys for Defendant]

By: _____

By: _____